

Orthology Photography Fiction Fishing  
Christianity Art Cooking Essays  
Buddhism Freemasonry Medicine Biology  
Music Ancient Egypt Evolution

Carpentry Physics Dance Geology  
Vermorens Fitness Shakespeare  
Cognitive Yoga Marketing Confidence  
Immortality Biographies Poetry

# Forgotten Books

— [www.forgottenbooks.com](http://www.forgottenbooks.com) —

Chemistry History Law Accounting  
Philosophy Anthropology Alchemy Drama  
Quantum Mechanics Atheism Sexuality  
Mental Health Ancient History Criminal  
Entomology Psychiatry Languages Sport

Copyright © 2016 FB &c Ltd.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed,  
or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording,  
or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission  
of the publisher, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical  
reviews and certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law.

# BUDDHISM:

ITS

HISTORICAL, THEORETICAL AND POPULAR  
ASPECTS.

BY

ERNEST J. EITEL, PH.D., TUBING.

*THIRD EDITION.*

REVISED, WITH ADDITIONS.

LONDON:  
TRÜBNER & CO.  
1884.

HONGKONG :

Printed at the *China Mail* Office.

WYNDHAM STREET.

# PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

---

THE rapidity with which the first edition of these lectures was exhausted and the favour with which the book was generally received by the public press, especially in China, encouraged the author to satisfy the continuing demand for it by a second edition, which was published in the year 1873. This second edition having met with unexpected success both in Europe and in America, a third edition is now urgently called for. In view of the results of more recent investigations, the whole has been revised and extensive additions have been made here and there, but the original form and the main substance of these lectures have undergone but little alteration.

HONGKONG, August, 1884.

E. J. E.

2005345



# PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

---

Two of the following essays on Buddhism formed part of a series of popular lectures, delivered in Hongkong in the course of the winter 1870-71. To complete the plan laid down in the first essay, it was necessary to add a third, and the whole is herewith offered to the reader as a popular sketch of Buddhism, which is here viewed under its different aspects, as an event in history, as a system of doctrine, and as a popular religion. Considering the character of the audience before which these lectures were delivered, the author avoided as much as possible going into details, and confined his remarks to the more prominent features of Buddhism. Those who wish to make themselves further acquainted with this important religion may refer to the author's 'Hand-book for the Student of Chinese Buddhism; London, Trübner & Co., 1870,' to which more painstaking work the present pamphlet may serve as a general introduction.

HONGKONG, March, 1871.

E. J. E.



# CONTENTS.

15  
7

## LECTURE I.—BUDDHISM, AN EVENT IN HISTORY.

	<i>Page.</i>
§ 1. Magnitude of the Buddhist system . . . . .	1
§ 2. Importance of Buddhism . . . . .	2
§ 3. Division of Subject Matter. . . . .	3
§ 4. Historical Origin of Buddhism . . . . .	4
§ 5. History of Shakyamuni Gautama Buddha . . . . .	6
§ 6. Legendary Origin of Buddhism . . . . .	7
§ 7. Legendary Accounts of Buddha's Life . . . . .	7
§ 8. Incidents resembling the Life of Christ . . . . .	14
§ 9. Origin of the Buddhist Canon . . . . .	16
§ 10. Origin of the Buddhist Church . . . . .	19
§ 11. Origin of Buddhist Missions . . . . .	21
§ 12. Division of Southern and Northern Buddhism . . . . .	22
§ 13. Introduction of Buddhism in China . . . . .	23
§ 14. Completion of the Buddhist Canon . . . . .	26
§ 15. Extension of Southern Buddhism . . . . .	27
§ 16. Extension of Northern Buddhism . . . . .	29
§ 17. Church and State in China . . . . .	36
§ 18. Church and State in Tibet . . . . .	45
§ 19. Historic Value of Buddhism . . . . .	51



---

**LECTURE II.—BUDDHISM, A THEORETICAL SYSTEM.**

	<i>Page.</i>
§ 20. The Doctrines of Buddhism . . . . .	52
§ 21. Development of the Buddhist Dogma . . . . .	53
§ 22. Summary of the Buddhist Dogma . . . . .	58
§ 23. Peculiarities of Buddhist Dogmatics . . . . .	59
§ 24. The Buddhist Theory of <i>Physics</i> . . . . .	64
§ 25. The Buddhist Theory of Metempsychosis . . . . .	69
§ 26. The Buddhist Theory of Ethics . . . . .	78
§ 27. Buddhist <i>Philosophy</i> . . . . .	84
§ 28. Nirvana . . . . .	88
§ 29. Value of Buddhism, as a Theoretical System . . . . .	90

**LECTURE III.—BUDDHISM, A POPULAR RELIGION.**

§ 30. The Truths of Buddhism . . . . .	92
§ 31. The Defects of Buddhism . . . . .	95
§ 32. Popular Aspects of Buddhism . . . . .	96
§ 33. Southern Buddhism as a Popular Religion . . . . .	100
§ 34. Northern Buddhism as a Popular Religion . . . . .	105
§ 35. Objects of Popular Worship . . . . .	106
§ 36. The Trinity . . . . .	114
§ 37. The <i>Paradise</i> of the West . . . . .	119
§ 38. The Goddess of Mercy . . . . .	124
§ 39. Interior of a Monastery . . . . .	131
§ 40. Buddhist Polytheism . . . . .	137
§ 41. Buddhist Monotheism . . . . .	138
§ 42. Buddhist Notions of Atonement . . . . .	140
§ 43. Conclusion . . . . .	144

# LECTURE THE FIRST.

## BUDDHISM, AN EVENT IN HISTORY.



### *MAGNITUDE OF THE BUDDHIST SYSTEM.*

§ 1.—It is with considerable hesitation, that I set out on this inquiry into the subject of Buddhism. But the reason of this hesitation is not that I, after having given years of study to this particular religion, failed to make myself familiar with its general characteristics and minute details. It is the magnitude and importance of the subject that appals me and in view of which I naturally feel distrustful of my own power to deal with that subject in a satisfactory and yet attractive manner.

Buddhism, I repeat, is a system of vast magnitude, for it combines the earliest gropings after science throughout those various branches of knowledge which our Western nations have long been accustomed to divide for separate study. It embodies in one living structure grand and peculiar views of physical science, refined and subtle theorems on abstract metaphysics, an edifice of fanciful mysticism, a most elaborate and far-reaching system of

practical morality, and finally a church organisation as broad in its principles and as finely wrought in its most intricate network as any in the world.

All this is moreover combined and worked up in such a manner, that the essence and substance of the whole may be compressed into a few formulas and symbols, plain and suggestive enough to be grasped by the most simple-minded Asiatic, and yet so full of philosophic depth, as to provide rich food for years of meditation to the metaphysician, the poet, the mystic, and pleasant pasturage for the most fiery imagination of any poetical dreamer.

### *IMPORTANCE OF BUDDHISM.*

§ 2.—The magnitude of the subject, however, is but equalled by its importance. A system which takes its roots in the oldest code-book of Asiatic nations, in the Vêda, a theory which extracted and remodelled all the best ideas that were ever laid hold of by ancient Brahmanism, a religion which has not only managed to subsist for more than two thousand years, but which has succeeded to draw within the meshes of its own peculiar church-organization and to bring more or less under the influence of its own peculiar tenets several hundred millions of people, nearly one third of the human race,—such a system, such a religion ought to have importance enough in our eyes to deserve something more than passing or passive attention.

The history of Eastern Asia is the history of Buddhism. But the conquests of Buddhism are not confined to Asia. This grand system of philosophic atheism, which



discards from the universe the existence of a creating and overruling Deity and in its place deifies humanity, has, since the beginning of the present century, entered upon a course of conquest in the West, in Europe and America.

Atheistic philosophers, unconsciously attracted by the natural affinity which draws together atheists of all countries and ages, have during the last fifty years almost instinctively gone on sipping at the intoxicating cup of Buddhist philosophy. The Germans Feuerbach, Schopenhauer and von Hartmann, the Frenchman Comte, the American Emerson, with hosts of others, have all imbibed more or less of this sweet poison and taken as kindly as any Asiatic to this Buddhist opiate. But most of all that latest product of modern philosophy, the so-called system of positive religion, the school of Comte, with its religion of humanity, is but Buddhism adapted to modern civilisation; it is philosophic Buddhism in a slight disguise.

#### *DIVISION OF SUBJECT MATTER.*

§ 3.—I mention these facts only, to claim the attention of my readers for the subject of these three lectures, being aware of the prejudices which deter people from a study so unpromising at first sight and uninviting as that of Buddhism. But to guide them through the vast labyrinth of Buddhist literature and doctrines with something like method, I propose to divide the subject matter under discussion into three parts and treat Buddhism first as an event in history, secondly as a dogmatic system, and finally consider its aspects as a popular religion. I shall there-

fore treat Buddhism in this first lecture as an event in the history of the world, and search for the hidden roots of the gigantic tree of knowledge under the boughs of which nearly one third of the human race has flocked together. Let us watch its gradual growth through successive centuries, let us count the large branches it has sent forth in all directions and ascertain its present condition and extent.

### *HISTORICAL ORIGIN OF BUDDHISM.*

§ 4.—But here, at the outset, we meet with the usual difficulty that obstructs the way of the historian who wants to get at the roots of events: they are hidden in complete darkness. There is such a network of fiction, romance, legend and truth lying around the early history of Buddhism, that it is an exceedingly difficult task to separate truth from fiction.

And yet these legends and myths ought not to be despised by the historian, ought not to be thrown aside as worthless rubbish. They are often very significant, a very master-key to many specific characteristics of after-developments, a rich ore of hidden wealth to him who patiently works through them and knows how to appreciate them with discerning caution.

I shall not ask my readers, however, to follow me through the tedious process of sifting out the truth from among the tangled mass of legends about the first origin of Buddhism. I will give them but the results of careful investigations and lightly sketch first the few historic data

that crop out of the chaos of legend and fable, and then arrange the same according to the received tradition of the Buddhist Church.

One thing is absolutely certain as regards the origin of Buddhism, and that is, that it first arose in India. All Buddhists of all countries point to India as the birthplace of their religion, and strange to say all Buddhists, North and South, are equally unanimous in singling out one and the same city, the city of Benares, as the first headquarters of early Buddhism.

Again, there is perfect unanimity as to the name of the great founder of the present Buddhist Church, one Shâkyamuni Gâutama Buddha. As to the time when this man lived or died, great confusion prevails, traditions of one and the same country often contradicting each other. One Chinese account, for instance, places it as early as 949 B.C., another, more modest, names the year 688 B.C., whilst the Buddhists of Ceylon fixed upon the year 543 B.C. As the latter date was confirmed by the discovery of the chronicle of Cashmere, and as other considerations, inscriptions and coins for instance, pointed to the same century, it was until lately agreed upon among European scholars that the year 543 B.C. was most probably the year in which Shâkyamuni Gâutama Buddha died. But within the last few years some ancient inscriptions have been discovered in India pointing to the year 275 B.C. as the time when Buddha died. The question is, however, by no means settled yet, and meanwhile it will be safest to adhere to the former date.



*HISTORY OF SHAKYAMUNI GAUTAMA BUDDHA.*

§ 5.—Regarding the private history of this truly great man very little can be ascertained with perfect certainty,—beyond the following facts. He claimed to be of royal descent, but, dissatisfied with Brahmanism, he left house and home, and tried at first to find peace in the most austere asceticism. Finally, however, he emerged, disentangling himself from the social trammels of caste and all sectarian doctrines, and teaching voluntary poverty and celibacy. On the basis of all existing religions he gradually built up a grand system, the chief characteristics of which were *socially* the complete insignificance of caste and property, *dogmatically* thorough atheism and deification of humanity, *morally* the dogma of the vanity and unreality of all earthly good, transmigration of the soul in accordance with the laws of moral retribution, and final absorption in Nirvâna.

But in spite of his undoubted originality of genius, it is more than probable, that he was not the first Buddhist, that he was but a great reformer, the Martin Luther of a sect which existed perhaps for centuries before him, but which rose with him only into historical significance, and which he inspired with the courage to publicly compete with the national religion of the Brahmans and the various sects attached to the latter.

One other characteristic, imprinted upon Buddhism by his master hand, is the spirit of thorough liberality and absolute tolerance, which has marked the early rise and

progress of Buddhism and which enabled it to adopt the most valuable ideas of all religions it came in contact with, to enter into a compromise with almost every form of popular superstition and to found and maintain a Church for thousand of years, without ever persecuting a single dissenter. That Buddhism is to the present day a system of unlimited eclecticism, is no doubt the work of the clever reformer Shâkyamuni Gâutama Buddha himself.

### *LEGENDARY ORIGIN OF BUDDHISM.*

§ 6.—This is well nigh all concerning the origin of Buddhism, that may be said to belong to history. But now let us see what Buddhist tradition reports on this same first epoch in the life of early Buddhism.

If we had the faith of an orthodox Buddhist, we should say that the history of Buddhism is, like the history of the world, without a beginning. As from eternity one world has succeeded the other, rising into existence by a law of evolution, flourishing and perishing again, only to be substituted by another,—thus, in all these countless numbers of worlds, which have risen into existence and disappeared again, before our present world came into being, there have been Buddhas and Buddhist Churches. Moreover the religion of each of these former Buddhas was subject to the same laws of rise, progress and decay.

### *LEGENDARY ACCOUNTS OF BUDDHA'S LIFE.*

§ 7.—Now in our present world, there have appeared already seven great Buddhas, the last and greatest of



whom was, however, Shâkyamuni Gâutama Buddha. But before Shâkyamuni was born as a Buddha, he had appeared on this earth at least 550 times, descending perhaps first in a flash of lightning, then may be vegetating as a humble plant, reborn again as a worm, again perhaps reborn as a snake, then as a beast, a bird and so forth. Thus in 550 successive stages of transmigration he worked his way up from the lowest forms of existence to the highest, through the various kingdoms of nature, through the different classes of sentient beings, then among the human race from the lowest caste to the highest and through all the various degrees of intellectual and religious saintship, exhibiting all the time, in every particular walk of life in which he appeared, the utmost unselfishness, absolutely self-denying and self-forgetting love and charity, constantly sacrificing his life for the benefit of other creatures, animate and inanimate.

At last he was reborn in a certain heaven whence all Buddhas come down to earth. Knowing that he was now to be reborn on earth as a Buddha, he goes with the assistance of some other dêvas through the whole court almanac of Indian princes and princesses, and finally selects the king of Kapilavastu and his young bride for his parents. In accordance with this choice, this virgin bride, whose name, Mâya, bears a curious resemblance to that of the mother of our Saviour, gives birth to a son, whilst a host of heavenly beings hasten to the spot and flashes of light announce to all the universe the birth of a Buddha, peace on earth and good will toward men.





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



it was through the experiences he made among the ladies of his harem that he became disgusted with the whole world and fell into such a misanthropical mood, that when he once, on a solitary walk, successively met with a miserable decrepid old man, a young man writhing in the agonies of disease, a dead corpse and—by way of striking contrast—a serene-looking hermit, he suddenly ran away from house and home and fled into the wilderness, and became a hermit too.

In vain he endeavoured to regain his peace of mind by solitude, fasting and self-torturing asceticism. He tried all the prescriptions of Brahmanism and Shivaism,—all in vain. When he was reduced by fasting and hunger to the last stage of exhaustion, Satan himself appeared to tempt him in various ways to a career of ambition and self-glorification and finally to a life of sensual pleasure; but by keeping his mind fixed on the idea of the utter unreality of all earthly things he conquered all such temptations.

Steeped in a sort of ecstatic meditation he remains seated under a tree a whole night, when at last he reaches the goal of absolute intelligence: he recognizes clearly that misery is a necessary attribute of sentient existence, that the accumulation of misery is caused by the passions, that the extinction of passion is possible through fixed meditation, and finally that the path to this extreme meditation results in the absorption of existence which would be a state of unlimited happiness. With the attaining of this four-fold truth he has freed himself from the bondage of sense, perception and self, he has broken with the material

---

world, he lives in eternity: in one word, he has become a Buddha.

Forthwith he leaves the wilderness, when some wise men from the East appear and make him some offerings. He collects some disciples and begins—what must have been a perfectly novel thing in his time—a course of public open-air preaching. He wanders about from place to place, preaches in season and out of season, proclaiming everywhere that all earthly things are vanity and vexation of spirit.

By the irresistible force of his soul-stirring eloquence he gradually founds a new sect, a new religion. For everywhere crowds of fanatic followers gather round him, men of all ranks and all classes; all take the vow of perpetual chastity and voluntary poverty; all follow him about, clad in rags, begging and preaching.

Women also flock to him, but for a long time he refuses to admit them to the vows, for he is no advocate of women's rights and laid down the doctrine, which has ever since been retained by Buddhism, that a woman's highest aspiration should be to be reborn as a man. One of his favourite disciples, who is to the present day adored as the principal patron of female devotees, persuaded him however, to found an order of sisters of charity, thus giving women at least a chance of salvation. In this way the foundation was laid for an ecclesiastical organization, built up on the idea, that man and woman must, in order to be saved, become first priests and nuns. *Extra ecclesiam nulla salus.*

Buddha is said to have wandered through the length and breadth of India and through Ceylon, preaching everywhere the doctrine of universal misery and employing the terrors of transmigration and hell, to induce rich and poor to lay aside all other pursuits, and to devote themselves exclusively to the salvation of their souls, to religious meditation, to enter the church, to become priests and nuns.

To give weight to his authority, he also worked miracles. But his miracles (as afterwards those of his disciples) were more like tricks of jugglery. He did not heal the sick, he did not recall the dead to life, but he showed himself suddenly sitting cross-legged in mid-air, divided his body into many portions, each shedding forth luminous rays, or he transported himself through the air hither and thither, to show that purely spiritual meditation can break through all the chains of material laws, that the spirit is independent of matter.

On the other hand, he, the son of a king, associated daily with the lowest and the outcast, went about in rags, begging his food from door to door and proclaiming everywhere in the face of that powerful caste-spirit of India that his religion was a religion of mercy for all. As a teacher he displayed great liberality and tolerance, adopting for instance all those deities which were decidedly popular, though he indeed assigned them a signally inferior position in his system, for the holy man, he used to say, is above the gods.

Those Brahmanic and Shivaitic sects, however, which were plainly immoral, he attacked and fought against with



---

all weapons at his command, conquering generally more through superiority of magic power, than through logical argumentation.

He remodelled almost every Brahmanic dogma, so far as it was necessary, in order to destroy its pantheistic character, for which he substituted his down-right atheism. But it is significant that he placed every Brahmanic doctrine into a new light by the preponderance of ethical treatment, which characterized his teaching to the almost total exclusion of pure metaphysics.

In this way he laboured for a series of years. But not satisfied with spreading his religion on earth, he is also said to have ascended up into the heavens and to have gone down to hell, to preach everywhere the way of salvation.

Towards the end of his life he is said to have been glorified, or, as the Buddhist tradition literally calls it, baptized with fire. He was on a mountain in Ceylon, discoursing on religious subjects, when suddenly a flame of light descended upon him, and encircled the crown of his head with a halo of light.

When he felt his end drawing near, he turned his way to Kushinagara, N. W. of Patna. Heaven and earth began to tremble and loud voices were heard, all living beings groaning together and bewailing his departure. When he was passing through Kushinagara, a poor workman offered him his last meal, and though he had just refused the offerings of the highest and richest, he accepted this offer, to show his humility, as he said, 'for the sake of humanity.' Immediately afterwards he said to his disciples

---

‘stand up, let us go, my time is come.’ He went out to a spot, where eight trees in groups of two were planted together. Resting on his right side, he gave his final instructions to his disciples, reminded them of the immortality of the spiritual body and then gave himself up to contemplation.

Passing through the various degrees of meditation which correspond to the various tiers of heaven, he lost himself into Nirvâna and thus his earthly career was ended. His disciples put his remains into a golden coffin which immediately grew so heavy, that no power could move it. But suddenly his long deceased mother Mâya appeared from above, bewailing her son, when the coffin lifted itself up, the lid sprang open, and Shâkyamuni appeared with folded hands, saluting his mother.

Afterwards, when his disciples wanted to perform the ceremony of cremation, they discovered that his body was incombustible by ordinary fire, but suddenly a jet of flame burst out of the mystic character inscribed on Buddha’s breast and reduced his body to ashes. The latter were eagerly collected and received thenceforth almost divine worship, being carried to all Buddhist countries, and for safe keeping deposited in pagodas expressly built for this purpose.

### *INCIDENTS RESEMBLING THE LIFE OF CHRIST.*

§ 8.—Attentive readers will have noticed in this rough sketch of Buddha’s life many details singularly coinciding

---

with incidents of the life of our Saviour as reported by the gospels.

Shâkyamuni Buddha—we are told—came from heaven, was born of a virgin, welcomed by angels, received by an old saint who was endowed with prophetic vision, presented in a temple, baptized with water and afterwards baptized with fire, he astonished the most learned doctors by his understanding and answers, he was led by the spirit into the wilderness, and having been tempted by the devil, he went about preaching and doing wonders. The friend of publicans and sinners, he is transfigured on a mount, descends to hell, ascends up to heaven,—in short, with the single exception of Christ's crucifixion, almost every characteristic incident in Christ's life is also to be found narrated in the Buddhist traditions of the life of Shâkyamuni Gâutama Buddha.

And yet, this Buddha lived and died 275 or even 543 years before Christ! Are we to conclude then, that Christ—as a certain sceptic would make us believe—went to India, during the eighteen years which intervened between his youth and manhood, and returned, thirty years old, to ape and reproduce the life and doings of Shâkyamuni Buddha? Or are we, who believe in Christ's originality, driven to the miserable subterfuge of assuming—as some Jesuit fathers do—that the devil, foreknowing the several details of the promised Messiah's life, anticipated him and all the details of his life by his own caricature in Shâkyamuni Buddha?

Unfortunately for the sceptic who would delight in



proving Christ to have been the ape of Buddha, it can be proved, that almost every single tint of this Christian colouring, which Buddhist tradition gives to the life of Buddha, is of comparatively modern origin. There is not a single Buddhist manuscript in existence which could vie, in antiquity and undoubted authenticity, with the oldest codices of the gospels. Besides, the most ancient Buddhist classics contain scarcely any details of Buddha's life, and none whatever of those above mentioned peculiarly Christian characteristics. Hardly any of the above given legends, which claim to refer to events that happened many centuries before the beginning of our Christian era, can be proved to have been in circulation earlier than the fifth century after Christ. 'A biography of Buddha,' says Oldenberg, 'has not come down to us from ancient times, from the age of the Pâli texts, and, we can safely say, no such biography was in existence then.' I shall presently have an opportunity (§ 16) to point out the precise source from which those apparently Christian elements flowed into and mingled with Buddhist traditions concerning the life of Buddha.

### *ORIGIN OF THE BUDDHIST CANON.*

§ 9.—I have entered thus fully into the detailed history of the founder of Buddhism, because it is, in my opinion, an indispensable key to the understanding of Buddhism as a whole, for every single Buddhist dogma is believed to have been evolved from the intuitive consciousness or inward experience of this one man. To the present





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



About the beginning of the Christian era many books also seem to have been in circulation, claiming to be authentic expositions of the orthodox faith. A number of them would appear to have received public approval at the œcumenic council, held in Cashmere under Kanishka, who reigned from 15 B. C. to 45 A. D., and to have been gathered in three divisions to form the standard canon of the Buddhist Church.

But no reliable information exists as to the extent and character of the Buddhist scriptures said to have been finally revised by that council. The very earliest compilation of the modern Buddhist canon, that history can point out, is that of Ceylon. But the canon of Ceylon was handed down orally from generation to generation. Part of it was reduced to writing about 30 B. C. [under the reign of Wattagamini B. C. 104-76]. The whole canon, however, was first compiled and fixed in writing between the years 412 and 432 of our present Christian era.

Burmese and Siamese Buddhists received their sacred scriptures from Ceylon. But the canon of Northern Buddhists, that is to say that of Cashmere, Nepaul, Tibet, Mongolia, China, Corea and Japan, this Northern canon which claims to have been formed earlier, by the above-mentioned fourth council, coincides with the Buddhist scriptures of Ceylon, though the Northern Buddhists have apparently enlarged the original canon to a great extent, by expanding the subject matter of original documents and by adding works of their own.

---

*ORIGIN OF THE BUDDHIST CHURCH.*

§ 10.—So much about the bible of the early Buddhist Church. As to this Church itself, it was left at the death of Shâkyamuni Buddha with the mere rudiments of an ecclesiastical organization. But his followers enthusiastically and consistently went on completing the edifice. They continued to preach and to teach much in the same way as Shâkyamuni himself had done.

Soon, however, they found it necessary to moderate their demands. It was for instance practically impossible that every one should become a priest. Thus lay-brethren and lay-sisters were admitted into the Church with a relaxation of the vows. Then in the various monasteries and nunneries, which sprang up everywhere, discipline had to be maintained, different occupations and different age produced a difference of rank, and thus slowly but steadily a complete machinery of ecclesiastical and monastic organization was formed, with an elaborate code-book of discipline and ceremonial.

Whilst the Buddhist Church was thus internally occupied, consolidating itself and gaining in stability and strength, it could not be expected to spread to any great distance, especially as India was then politically divided into innumerable petty kingdoms, in most of which the Brahmans exercised paramount influence.

Consequently we find, that during the first two centuries after the death of Buddha, taking the year 543 B. C. as the year in which Shâkyamuni died, the influence

of the new religion was confined to the countries bordering on the Ganges and had scarcely reached the Punjab.

At the end of this period Alexander the Great invaded India. But strange to say, of this glorious campaign of the Greek armies, which for the first time brought India into close contact with Hellenic civilisation and culture, no traces remain, except on the part of India a few coins and inscriptions, and on the part of the Greeks a few mysterious legends, as for instance that of the Indian Hercules and a few scanty notes as to the existence of Buddhists in India.

But out of the political anarchy into which the whole conglomeration of Indian kingdoms was thrown by the invasion of Alexander, arose an empire which soon swallowed up all the others.

It was founded by an adventurer of low birth called Tchandragupta by the Buddhists, and Sandrakottos by the Greek historians. Despised on account of his low birth by Brahmans, he hated them in return and began to patronize the rising Buddhist Church. His grandson Ashôka, whose cognomen Piyadasi has been handed down to the present day by innumerable stone inscriptions scattered all over India, united nearly the whole of India under his sceptre. Embracing the Buddhist faith, in which he saw the safeguard of his dynasty, he strengthened and extended the Buddhist Church with all the means at his command, and became the Constantine of Indian Buddhism.

Ashôka formally acknowledged to hold his power and possessions only as a fief from the Church, he convoked an œcumenic council for the establishing of orthodox teaching,



tightened the reigns of Church discipline by the introduction of quinquennial assemblies to be held in each diocese, erected pagodas and endowed monasteries with great profusion in all parts of India.

### *ORIGIN OF BUDDHIST MISSIONS.*

§ 11.—But the greatest work Ashôka did was the establishing of a board for foreign Missions (*Dharma-Mahamâtra*), which sent forth to all surrounding countries enthusiastic preachers who went out in self-chosen poverty, clad in rags, with the almsbowl in their hands, but supported by the whole weight of Ashôka's political and diplomatic influence. His own son Mahêndra went out as a missionary to Ceylon, and the whole island forthwith embraced the faith of Buddha.

At the same time Cabulistan, Gandhara, Cashmere and Nepaul were brought under the influence of Buddhism, and thenceforth every caravan of traders, that left India for Central Asia, was accompanied by Buddhist missionaries.

In this way it happened, that as early as 250 B.C. a number of 18 Buddhist emissaries reached China, where they are held in remembrance to the present day, their images occupying a conspicuous place in every larger temple.

So then we observe with regard to these earliest Buddhist missionaries three things which form a remarkable parallel to the line of movement followed by modern Christian Missions in Eastern Asia. It is evident that these

Buddhist missionaries went out, in the first instance, with even greater self-abnegation than Roman Catholic priests, as mendicant monks; secondly, they followed in the wake of trade; and thirdly, they were backed by imperial influence and diplomacy.

### *DIVISION OF SOUTHERN AND NORTHERN BUDDHISM.*

§ 12.—Soon after Ashôka's death his empire fell to pieces, the Brahmans lifted their heads up again, and a reaction took place which resulted in a severe persecution of all Buddhists then living in India.

In the course of this dark period, which reached its height under Pushpamitra in 178 B.C., most of the monasteries and pagodas were laid in ashes, nearly all the sacred books were destroyed, and the whole Buddhist Church in India received a shock from which it never afterwards recovered. But this very persecution gave a renewed impetus to the foreign Missions of the Buddhists, who now pushed their way through the whole of Central Asia and gained a lasting foothold among the various Tartar tribes which were just then in great commotion.

A branch of the great tribe of the Huns, pushed to the West by the advances of the Chinese in Central Asia, fell over the Greek provinces West of the Hindukush, overran Trans-oxania, destroyed the Bactrian kingdom and finally conquered the Punjab, Cashmere and the greater part of India.

Their greatest king Kanishka, a contemporary of

Christ, patronized Buddhism as liberally as Ashôka had done. During his reign the last œcumenical council, which revised the canon, was held in Cashmere, but it was not recognized by the Buddhist Church of Ceylon, and thus a split took place, corresponding to the division of the Roman and Greek Churches in the ecclesiastical history of the West.

The Buddhist Church of Ceylon, with its dependencies in Burmah and Siam, maintained with great tenacity the original teaching of early Buddhism in comparative purity, whilst the Northern Buddhists, that is to say those of Northern India, Cashmere, Nepaul and afterwards those of China, Tibet and Mongolia, went on constantly adding to and expanding the common stock of doctrines and traditions, and entering into compromises with any form of popular superstition they found too deep-rooted and too popular to overcome.

### *INTRODUCTION OF BUDDHISM IN CHINA.*

§ 13.—About this time it was that Buddhism was officially recognized in China. I have remarked above, that as early as 250 B.C. Buddhist missionaries peregrinated through China. They found there a popular religion, the chief characteristics of which were serpent and tree-worship, the grand moral system of Confucianism with its worship of ancestors, and the system of Tauism, which had already descended from its sublime height of philosophic mysticism to an alliance with popular forms of superstition, sorcery and witchcraft. The Buddhists at once arrayed



themselves on the side of popular superstition and 'Tauism, in opposition to Confucianism. But for fully three hundred years, from 250 B.C. to 62 A.D., the labours of Buddhists in China met with little success; in fact, statistic enquirers into the missionary problem of that time would have called Buddhist propaganda in China a decided failure.

Meanwhile, however, Chinese armies had been fighting a series of campaigns in Central Asia and had repeatedly come into contact with Buddhism established there. Repeatedly it happened that Chinese generals, engaged in that war, had occasion to refer in their reports to the throne to the influence of Buddhism, and in the second year before Christ an ambassador of the Tochari Tartars (probably sent by Kanishka) presented the emperor of China with a number of the sacred books of Buddhism.

More than a hundred years before that time, in the year 121 B.C., a gigantic golden statue of Buddha forming part of the spoils of those campaigns had been brought to the Chinese court.

If we keep these facts in mind, there is no apparent reason why we should discredit the story of the famous dream of the Emperor Ming-ti. It is reported in Chinese history, that in the year 61 A.D. the Emperor Ming-ti saw in a vision of the night an image of gigantic dimensions, resplendent as gold, its head surrounded by a halo as bright as the sun, approach his palace and enter it. At a loss how to explain this dream, the Emperor appealed to his younger brother, the prince of 'Tusu, who had been known for years as the most zealous protector of the Tauists and





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



India, with the same object in view, and translated, after his return to China, as many as 75 Buddhist manuscripts.

In the year 860 A.D. the Emperor I-tsung of the 'Tang dynasty applied himself to the study of Sanskrit and gave a new impetus to the collection of Buddhist literature, which was now only approaching completion. The Emperor Jin-tsung opened a college for Sanskrit studies in the year 1035 A.D. and appointed fifty youths to study that language.

### *COMPLETION OF THE BUDDHIST CANON.*

§ 14.—Strange to say, in spite of all these strenuous efforts, continued for more than a thousand years, it was not until the year 1410 A.D. that the Chinese procured a complete edition of the Buddhist canon, and the modern edition of it, known as the Northern collection, is of still later date, having been completed between the years 1573-1619 A.D.

What becomes then of the assertion that the Buddhist canon was closed at the time of the fourth œcumenic council under Kanishka? Kanishka died in the year 45 A.D. Scarcely twenty-five years afterwards Ming-ti's embassy arrived in the very place where that council had been assembled, and having searched all through India for Buddhist books returned to China with one tiny little volume, a Sutra of forty-two short paragraphs.

It is clear therefore that history bears me out in what I said above, that the earliest edition of the Buddhist scriptures is that of Ceylon, which according to the unanimous

---

testimony of Singhalese Buddhists did not exist before the years 410-432 A.D. Next comes the Chinese canon collected under the 'Tang dynasty (about 860 A.D.) and completed in the year 1410 A.D.

We see therefore how favourably our Christian Bible compares with the canon of the Buddhists. Our Bible has been assailed by sceptics and infidels, has been historically and critically examined under the microscope of prejudiced antiquarians, and yet the fact remains uncontested that the canon of the Old Testament was completed in Esra's time about 450 B.C., and that no further additions were made to the canonical books of the New Testament after the close of the second century of our era. Besides, we still possess ancient manuscripts of the New Testament, two of which, the *Códex Sinaiticus* and *Codex Vaticanus*, were undoubtedly written in the course of the fourth century, one hundred years before the first edition of the Buddhist scriptures was undertaken. Of the latter, not a single ancient manuscript has withstood the ravages of time, nor has any copy of an ancient Buddhist text ever been examined critically by either friend or foe in the searching manner in which all the codices of the New Testament have been tested.

### *EXTENSION OF SOUTHERN BUDDHISM.*

§ 15.—But to return to our subject, we have seen that Buddhism split about the beginning of the Christian era into two divisions, which are now-a-days known under the names of Southern and Northern Buddhism.

Southern Buddhism, *i.e.*, the Buddhist Churches of

India, Ceylon, Burmah and Siam, soon lost considerable ground. New persecutions broke out again and again at the instigation of the Brahmans, especially in India, where the last remnants of Buddhism were exposed to the most sanguinary persecution in the course of the sixth and seventh centuries. But no detailed records of this struggle remain. 'This much however is certain that these persecutions, followed up by the invasion of the Mohammedans, put an end to the reign of Buddhism in India, and at the present day there are in India but scanty traces of its former existence, in the shape of ruins, rock temples and the sect of Djains, whose connection with Buddhism is now scarcely recognizable.

In Ceylon, in Burmah and Siam, Buddhism is still flourishing. Its doctrines are popularly believed in, and practically obeyed, though the priests themselves are generally despised, unless they are objects of awe on account of supposed magic powers. The temples and monasteries are in possession of large revenues; and yet the sacred buildings are everywhere allowed to fall into ruins, scarcely an effort being made to prevent their destruction by the elements of nature. The number of priests now living in Ceylon does not average more than one in four hundred of the whole population. This would give for the island about 2,500 priests. The proportion of priests to laymen is greater in Burmah, and still greater in Siam, though in the latter country the temples are less numerous.



---

*EXTENSION OF NORTHERN BUDDHISM.*

§ 16.—Whilst Southern Buddhism lost the greater part of its ancient territory, Northern Buddhism has, since the beginning of our Christian era, run a course of almost unchecked conquests.

It retained its foothold in Cashmere and Nepaul, and though it lost most of its influence in the Western half of Central Asia, through the influx of Mohammedanism, it conquered in Eastern Asia new territories, vastly superior in extent and importance.

The most complete triumph that Buddhism ever achieved was accomplished in Tibet. Buddhism was, at an early period, carried to Tibet from Caferistan and Cashmere, where Shivaism and Brahmanism had been for a long time saturating Buddhism to the almost total oblivion of many of its original characteristics.

Thus it happened, that Buddhism reached Tibet in an adulterated form, and entering there into an amalgamation with indigenous systems of Animism and especially with the necromantic superstitions which have ever been rampant in this country, Tibetan Buddhism departed still farther from the original type of moral asceticism. Nevertheless the spirit of propagandism was astir among the early Buddhists of Tibet as much as among the adherents of Southern Buddhism. Being connected by trade with China, Tibet sent Buddhist emissaries to labour in China even before Buddhism became a recognized power among the Tibetans. The Buddhist Church of Tibet gained its first

official recognition during the reign of Lha-lho-lhor (407 A.D.), who claimed to be a lineal descendant of the Shâkya family. About the middle of the fifth century Nestorian missionaries reached Central Asia and made numbers of Buddhist priests of Tibet acquainted with the story of Christ's life and with the ceremonial of the Catholic Church. True to the eclectic instincts of Buddhism, the Tibetan priesthood then, and in subsequent centuries, adopted as many Christian ideas, traditions and ceremonies, as they thought compatible with Buddhist orthodoxy.

Here we have then the explanation of the above mentioned coincidences in the traditions concerning the life of Buddha with the gospel narratives of Christ's life. Introduced into the Buddhist hagiology of Tibet, these semi-foreign legends speedily found their way into the Buddhist literature of China, and caused generally an adulteration of the original traditions of early Buddhism in Eastern Asia.

Lha-lho-lhor's grandson, Srong-dsan-gambo, made Buddhism the ruling religion of Tibet. He also introduced Sanskrit studies and a Sanskrit alphabet (A.D. 629). By his marriage (A.D. 641) with a daughter of the Chinese Emperor Tai-tsung, he materially strengthened the link which, for centuries before his time, connected the Buddhist Churches of China and Tibet. This Chinese princess became so renowned in Tibet, as a patroness of Buddhism, that she was canonized after her death and is worshipped to the present day as one of the most popular deities of Tibetan Buddhists.

---

Towards the end of the seventh century the inroads of the Mohammedans, putting an end to the Buddhist Churches of Transoxania and Cabulistan, produced a new influx of Buddhist priests into Tibet.

King This-roug-de-tsan, who reigned 740-786 A.D., was the son of a Chinese princess and had inherited from his mother strong prejudices in favour of Buddhism. During his minority the 'Tibetan nobles did their best to extinguish Buddhism. But the moment This-roug-de-tsan ascended the throne, all was reversed. Buddhism was officially adopted, learned priests were sent for from India, new monasteries were built and endowed, and a beginning made with the translation of the Buddhist scriptures into the native dialect of 'Tibet.

His successors also patronized the Buddhists and assisted them in the formation of a complete hierarchy, giving them spiritual jurisdiction, extensive grants of land and various other privileges. 'This increase in Church property and Church influence, which naturally enraged the nobility as it impoverished the lower classes, produced eventually a revolution, and a persecution broke out which endangered the very existence of Buddhism. But their persecutor King Lang-darma having been assassinated by a priest, the persecution ceased.

Cautiously but speedily the Buddhists regained their former influence, and were soon stronger than ever, establishing in the eleventh century an hereditary priesthood which thenceforth dominated over king and people, but eventually developed, as we shall presently explain (§ 18),



into a hierarchy content to be held in bonds of absolute tutelage by an alien State.

From Tibet Buddhism spread to Mongolia and Manchuria, where it prospered exceedingly. Every third person one meets in Mongolia is a priest, and many of their monasteries are as large as a good-sized town. To the Kalmyks on the Wolga, to the Burjads on the Baikal sea, and to the Carenes of Further India, Buddhism has been carried at a comparatively recent period.

We have seen how it spread to China, where it was officially adopted in the year 61 A.D., and though the Confucianists in successive centuries persecuted Buddhism with fire and sword and put forth their best literary efforts to nullify its influence, they not only failed to stop the progress of Buddhism, but got themselves so imbued with Buddhist ideas, and so impressed with Buddhist pretences of magic power, that to the present day the most thorough-paced Confucianist goes without any scruple through Buddhist ceremonies, on the occasion of weddings or funerals, or in cases of illness, epidemic or drought. Some time ago a Chinese gentleman, a Confucianist to the backbone, expressed in a conversation with me his utmost contempt for Buddhism, but at the same time, when I happened to show him a Chinese translation of a certain Buddhist Sutra, he acknowledged to have learned it by heart. When I asked him how he came to study a Buddhist book, he assured me with the greatest seriousness that it was universally known, and proved by his own





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



The Buddhist rosary actually forms part of the official dress of every Mandarin. The Imperial mausolea are under the official charge of the Buddhist clergy, Buddhist shrines abound in the Imperial palaces, and the Imperial household constantly requisitions the ministrations of Buddhist priests. As it is at Court, so it is all over the Empire. Almost all Chinese are Confucianists in theory, and most of them will, as a matter of argument, declare Buddhism to be rank heresy, but in practice they freely combine Buddhist rites and ceremonies with the traditional ritual of orthodox ancestral worship. There is hardly a wedding, or a case of serious illness or a funeral in China, where the services of Buddhist (or 'Tanist) priests are not employed. There is hardly a town in China which has not a temple full of pictorial representations of hell, and there is not a village in China which does not arrange, in the course of the seventh month of every year, for a series of masses to be read and requiems to be sung by Buddhist (or Tanist) priests for the benefit of the dead (§ 42). Even in those Confucian preaching halls, which have been established in South China by zealous Confucianists, in order to expound Kanghi's Sacred Edict in opposition to the chapel preaching of Protestant missionaries, the walls are generally covered with placards exhorting people to morality by means of arguments based on the Buddhist doctrines of hell and metempsychosis. It is not too much to say that most Chinese are theoretically Confucianists, but emotionally Buddhists or 'Tauists. But fairness requires us to add that, though the mass of the people are more or less influenced

---

by Buddhist doctrines, yet the people as a whole have no respect for the Buddhist Church and habitually sneer at Buddhist priests.

Where then is the much-talked-of exclusiveness of China? Buddhism is a foreign religion, introduced by foreign priests, of whom there were at the beginning of the sixth century upwards of 3,000 living in China. To the present day two-thirds of the whole Chinese Buddhist literature are translations of foreign works. Every popular Buddhist book is full of Sanskrit phrases. Many of the litanies which the priests read are Sanskrit prayers transliterated in Chinese characters, the prayers which exorcists among the common people recite, the charms and amulets they use, frequently contain Sanskrit characters.

Why then should we despair of bringing the Christian truth home to the hearts of this people? Christianity is more universal in its character and more adapted to the peculiarities of all nations than Buddhism. Christianity can be introduced in China without the study of a language as difficult as Sanskrit. The Chinese Christian Bible, as we already have it, is more intelligible to the common people, than any of the sacred books of the Buddhists. And truth must prevail in the end.

Let us remember, also, that it took Buddhism three hundred years before it obtained official recognition, and many centuries more, before the mass of the people was influenced by it; and who will then speak of the failure of Protestant Missions, which during the first forty

years of their operations in China gathered over 15,000 native communicants into the Christian Church ?

From China Buddhism spread to Corea in the year 372 A.D. and thence to Japan, where it was first introduced in the year 552 A.D. But in both of these countries Buddhism has obtained but partial success, and suffered considerable adulteration by the influence of native religions.

### *CHURCH AND STATE IN CHINA.*

§ 17.—The vexed question of Church and State has been settled, as far as Northern Buddhism is concerned, many centuries ago. The relation in which the Buddhist Church stands to the State is well worth considering, but it will suffice to refer to the two cases of China and Tibet, as they are typical and perfect illustrations of the political status which Northern Buddhism has gained for itself during the last two thousand years.

To take the case of the Buddhist Church of China first, we remarked above on the zeal with which Buddhist missionaries perègrinated the length and breadth of Eastern Asia, preaching and teaching the people and bringing with them quite a new literature and a complete scheme of Church organisation. We also observed the interest which many Chinese Emperors exhibited in the propagation of the Buddhist creed and the assistance they gave to the attempt to transplant to Chinese soil the study of Sanskrit and finally how they aided the great work of completing the canon of Northern Buddhism, which was eventually succeeded by the growth of a cou-



---

siderable native Buddhist literature. To the present day most Buddhist monasteries keep printing presses at work from which constantly new editions of ancient and modern books and tracts issue. Yet, in spite of all that, the Buddhist Church of the present day enjoys neither the respect of the people nor that of the State.

One of the many causes which brought about this pitiable result may be found in the fact that the mass of the people of China are so thoroughly impregnated with the inherited belief in the sanctities of family life that they cannot help despising a system avowedly based on celibacy and monasticism. As to the Chinese Government, the attitude which all successive dynasties assumed towards Buddhism, though certainly not a uniformly respectful one, appears hardly ever to have been positively antagonistic. Whilst individual Emperors of the Han, Tang and Yuen dynasties openly patronized Buddhism, not one attempted to eradicate or even to prohibit Buddhism as a religion. Persecutions have not been wanting in the history of the Buddhist Church of China, but the few persecutions which did arise were directed against abuses, excesses and exorcences of the Buddhist creed and hierarchy and not against the Buddhist religion as a whole. Chinese history does not appear to know any martyrs of the Buddhist faith as such. A persecution broke out in the fifth century directed against the excessive number of monasteries which had been established. In the sixth century the Buddhist doctrines of celibacy and monasticism were declared to have a mischievous effect, producing too many drones in

the social organism and interfering with the financial productiveness of agriculture. Many Buddhist books were then burned, but no priest is known to have been put to death for these reasons. In the ninth century laws were enacted, which have survived to the present day, requiring paternal consent in the case of minors and an official permit in the case of persons of age before any such persons can legally be admitted as novices in a monastery or nunnery. At the same time, from considerations of political economy, many monasteries were secularized or destroyed. A law made in the fourteenth century, exempting Buddhist monasteries from taxation, was amended in the following century by fixing a certain limit as to the amount of real estate to be held by monasteries.

This is about all that the Buddhist Church of China suffered in the way of persecution. It is evident that the uniform policy of the Chinese Government all along was not to meddle with the creed or the internal affairs of the Buddhist Church as a whole and to guard only against dangers arising from excess or abuse of Buddhist theories or practices to the prejudice of the political and social organism.

This is the more remarkable when we observe the attitude which the same Government assumed and consistently maintained to the present day towards the Christian religion. The amplification of Kang-hi's Sacred Edict (A.D. 1670), issued by his son Yung-cheng (A.D. 1724), attacks, in the case of Christianity, not merely abuses as in the case of Buddhism, but it declares Christianity itself



---

to be 'an unsound and corrupt religion,' and expressly calls upon the people that they 'should on no account believe it.' The policy of modern Chinese statesmen consistently carries out the views of Yung-cheng when it declares European Christianity to be as radically dangerous to the welfare of China as Indian opium.

But whilst the Government of China uniformly accorded to Buddhism an amount of toleration which it deliberately refused to grant to the Christian religion, this toleration was generally accorded to Buddhism in a marked spirit of contemptuous condescension. The granting of toleration always implies a sense of absence of real danger and a declaration of inferiority. In the case of Chinese Buddhism it is a patent fact that it never became popular or strong enough to inspire Confucian statesmen with either respect or fear. Whilst the 'Tauist Church' prudently adopted the Tibetan idea of a supreme pontificate transmitted by successive re-incarnations and thus attained at least to a semblance of unity, the Buddhists of China have ever remained divided into a number of opposing societies. From the earliest centuries the Buddhist Churches of China were divided into two conflicting camps, the so-called exoteric schools maintaining more of the doctrinal and ascetic character of primitive Southern Buddhism, whilst the so-called esoteric schools were distinguished by the mystic and speculative tendencies peculiar to Northern Buddhism. Moreover, in the course of time, each of these two factions became sub-divided within itself by numerous schisms into a mass of sects, each of which was represented by

some leading monastery. The consequence of this internal strife was that the Buddhists of China never succeeded in perfecting their common ecclesiastical organisation so as to attain of their own accord to the unity of one Church, but Chinese Buddhism remained, apart from its connection with the State, to the present day an atomic aggregate of provincial monasteries and nunneries. ■

Thus it happened that Buddhism, as it failed to inspire the mass of the Chinese people with respect for its distinctive tenets, failed also to attain as a Church to sufficient unity and power to inspire the Chinese Government with either respect or fear. This being the case, the Chinese Government could afford, with complacent condescension, to give to the Buddhist Church of China that unity which it was unable to produce by its own efforts. The rules of constitution of the Chinese Empire, which have remained substantially the same during the last decade of centuries, distinctly recognize Buddhism as a body-politic. Whilst exempting Buddhism from interference with its forms of worship and granting to the various monasteries the right of holding property and freedom of internal administration, the rules of constitution require that in each district, prefecture and department, throughout the eighteen provinces of China, two abbots be selected from the leading monasteries as official representatives of the Buddhist priesthood of their respective localities. These two abbots, bearing the official title of Principal Superior and Deputy Superior of the Buddhist priesthood, act as the medium of communication between the secular authorities and the





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



(or Tanist) nun, she shall be condemned to penal servitude for life. If a (Tauist or) Buddhist, without previously obtaining an official permit, secretly enters the priesthood and for that purpose shaves the whole head (as Buddhists do), or assumes the (Tauist) hair tuft, he shall be punished with eighty blows. If it is done at the instigation of the head of the offender's family, such head of the family concerned shall be treated as guilty of the same offence. If the superintendent of any Buddhist (or Tauist) monastery, or a priest who answers the relation of teacher to pupil, secretly admits any person into the priesthood, he shall be treated as guilty of the same offence, and shall himself be excluded from the priesthood.

Section 114. Any Buddhist (or Tauist) priest, taking to himself a wife or concubine, shall be punished with eighty blows and he shall further be excluded from the priesthood. The person thus giving away a female in marriage or concubinage to a priest, shall be treated as guilty of the same offence. Separation of the married couple is to be effected, and the betrothal money is to be forfeited to the Government. The superior of the Buddhist (or Tauist) monastery concerned in the case, shall, if cognizant of the affair, be treated as guilty of the same offence, but if he be only implicated secondarily through others, he need not be excluded from the priesthood, and if it be established that he was entirely ignorant of the matter, he shall not be held liable at all. If a Buddhist (or Tanist) priest, pretending to seek a wife for a layman, a relative or young servant of his, actually appropriates the woman for himself, it shall



---

be treated as a case of illicit intercourse on the part of the Buddhist (or Tanist) priest concerned, but the punishment shall be two degrees heavier than in a case of illicit intercourse of private persons acting with mutual consent. The woman concerned shall be returned to her family, and the betrothal money shall be forfeited to the Government. But should there be any force used in the matter, the case shall be treated as a case of rape.

Section 176. Every Buddhist (or Tanist) priest or nun is equally required by law to render the customary obeisance to his or her parents (on stated occasions). They shall also offer the customary sacrificial worship to their respective deceased ancestors, including the progenitors of the whole respective clan. In the case of any death happening in their respective families, they shall observe the customary mourning according to the various degrees of relationship, that is to say, they must observe the proper distinctions customarily made in the case of the death of parents or other relatives, the distinctions of long and short mourning, the distinctions made as to the material of the mourning garments to be worn in each case, all which distinctions must be observed by priests or nuns in the same way as is customary in private life. Offenders against this enactment shall be punished with one hundred blows and excluded from the priesthood. Buddhist (and Tanist) priests shall be restricted to the use of plain silk, gauze and cloth, and they shall not be permitted to wear damask or other variegated materials. Offenders against this enactment shall be punished with fifty blows and excluded

from the priesthood. The materials shall be forfeited to the Government. The kachâya and other clerical vestments shall not be included in this prohibition.

It is evident from the foregoing extracts from the Penal Code of China, that the question of Church and State has been definitely settled, as far as the Buddhist Church of China is concerned, by a complete subjugation of the Church under the State. It is obvious that the position here assigned to the Buddhist Church is anything but dignified. Whilst the holders of secular official rank are exempt from corporal punishment, priests charged with a criminal offence are not allowed the privilege of commutation by payment of a fine, whilst the scale of punishment applied in the case of a priest is heavier than that applied in the case of private persons. Another peculiar feature is the provision made (in Section 176) to insure a recognition on the part of the Buddhist clergy of the claim of superiority due to the national religion and ceremonial as sanctioned by Confucianism with regard to ancestral worship. A third prominent feature of the relations in which the Buddhist Church stands to the State consists in the control which the State exercises (by virtue of Section 77) regarding all admissions to the priesthood, and the power which the State thus wields to stop all further admission of novices or the establishment of any additional monastic institution in any given district where the number of priests exceeds a certain proportion of the number of the population. This systematic subjugation of the Church must appear the more galling, when it is considered that



---

the Buddhist Church of China receives no endowments or emoluments from the State but is maintained by the people on the voluntary principle.

### *CHURCH AND STATE IN TIBET.*

§ 18.—The position which the Buddhist Church of Tibet occupies in relation to the State is widely different from that which we have observed in the case of Chinese Buddhism.

We remarked above (§ 16) that in the eleventh century the Buddhist clergy of Tibet succeeded in establishing a hereditary hierarchy which eventually gained the mastery over all the various kings then ruling the country. This Buddhist hierarchy developed and organized itself under distinctly Nestorian influences. As the priesthood combined in their hands the supreme temporal and spiritual government of the nation, it was considered necessary for the stability of priestly rule to secure for the priesthood the exclusive monopoly of hereditary transmission of power. Celibacy, one of the distinctive features of orthodox Buddhism, was accordingly abolished and the marriage of priests was formally sanctioned.

But this measure destroyed the popular prestige of the priesthood and eventually produced a revolution which led in the fifteenth century to the establishment of a Reformed Church by Tsongkhapa (about 1450 A.D.), henceforth known as the Yellow Church, in contradistinction from the ancient Church which, on account of the colour of its vestments, was known as the Red

Church. But instead of returning to the original simplicity of primitive Buddhism, Tsongkhapa promulgated the mystic doctrines of the Mahâyana School and adopted only such reforms as he found necessary to gain for his party the ascendancy in popular favour over the waning influence of the Red Church. His chief aim was to oust the latter and to secure for the hierarchy of his own Church the perpetual succession of spiritual and temporal sovereignty. For this purpose he adopted the whole organization of the Roman Catholic Church and, by virtue of the inherited semi-Christian proclivities of Tibetan Buddhists, Tsongkhapa's scheme met with such popular success that it has survived even to the present day. It is therefore not a matter of surprise if we are told, as indeed it is a fact, that the Buddhist Church of Tibet has its popes, cardinals, prelates, bishops, abbots, priests and nuns, that the Buddhists of Tibet have their infant baptism, their confirmation, their ordination and investiture, their mass for the dead, litanies, chants and antiphones, rosaries, chaplets, candles and holy water, processions and pilgrimages, saints' days and fast days, and so forth.

Whilst re-establishing, in opposition to the Red Church, the rule of celibacy and otherwise reforming the organization and ritual of Buddhism, Tsongkhapa obtained for the temporal and spiritual pontificate of Tibet the support of the Chinese Government,—of which more anon,—and secured the regular transmission of papal sovereignty by an ingenious adaptation of the Shivaitic notion of successive avatars or re-incarnations (Khubil-



khan). Appointing his two principal disciples as perpetual sovereign pontiffs, one of whom was to act as temporal sovereign under the title of Dalai Lama and the other as spiritual sovereign under the title of Panshen Erdeni Lama, he promulgated a new dogma of perpetual succession by successive re-incarnations. Each of those two newly appointed pontiffs, he declared, should after his natural demise be reborn immediately. Each successor thus obtained to the vacant pontificate should, after his death, likewise be immediately reborn, as Khubilkhan, in human form, for generation after generation. Moreover, each successive re-embodiment of the Dalai Lama should be revered as an incarnation of Avalokitêshvara (the apotheosis of mercy) and each successive re-embodiment of the Panshen Lama should receive worship as an incarnation of Mandjushri (the apotheosis of wisdom). This ingenious idea of successive re-embodiments, established by Tsongkhapa, was subsequently applied to the filling up of all vacancies occurring in the higher offices of the Tibetan Church, all these dignitaries being considered as divine re-embodiments of their predecessors in office, and all are therefore regarded and designated as 'living Buddhas.'

But the same reformer, Tsongkhapa, who by this idea of successive avatars successfully devised for the hierarchy a sort of perpetual apostolic succession, which was to be the means of securing for the Church perpetual sovereignty in both the temporal and spiritual affairs of the nation, unwittingly laid the foundation thereby for the complete subjugation of the national Church under the temporal

power of an alien State. To strengthen his influence and to secure the stability and permanency of his measures of reform, Tsongkhoba entered into an alliance with the Chinese Emperors of the Ming dynasty, who thenceforth exercised a gradually increasing control over the Buddhist hierarchy of Tibet. When the present Tatsing dynasty completed its conquest of China, the two Grand Lamas of the Tibetan nation tendered their allegiance (A.D. 1542) and the Government of Peking has ever since retained the supreme tutelage of the Buddhist papacy and hierarchy of Tibet. Since the middle of last century the Chinese Government placed the whole conduct of Tibetan affairs, both spiritual and temporal, into the hands of a council consisting of the Dalai Lama, the Panshen Erdeni Lama, and four laymen, under the supervision of two Chinese Commissioners. The latter are the real powers both in the Church and in the State in Tibet, and the Grand Lamas are but puppets in their hands. And this has been brought about principally by means of Tsongkhoba's original scheme of apostolic succession through successive re-embodiments. This scheme required each vacancy in the pontificate (or other high office) to be filled up by a new-born babe selected, under the control of the Chinese Government, from a large number of infants whose birth coincided with the death of the respective pontiff (or other dignitary), provided that such birth was connected with auspicious signs favouring an artificial analogy with the spiritual characteristics of the vacant office. As the decision upon such analogies naturally produced disputes





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



also a right of veto. Some time ago the Chinese Government, wishing to abolish a certain high office in Tibet, did it on the decease of the last occupant of the office by simply issuing an Imperial edict, actually published in the Peking Gazette, informing the Tibetan pontificate that 'His Majesty the Emperor of China had been pleased to forbid the deceased dignitary for ever the privilege of re-appearing again on earth in human form.' As the Chinese Government controls thus the succession of the Grand Lamas and other high dignitaries of the Tibetan State Church and pulls the strings of this ecclesiastical lottery from the first examination of candidates to the final enthronement of the favoured Khubilkhan, it is not a matter of surprise that the whole government of the Tibetan Church is virtually in the hands of the Court of Peking.

We have therefore in the Tibetan Church a unique example of a Church combining in itself the nominal sovereignty over both the spiritual and temporal affairs of a whole nation. And yet this same Church has obtained this nominal sovereignty and subsists in the exercise of this singular combination of power only at the expense of its own national independence. The hierarchy of Tibet, though combining in itself both State and Church government, is as hopelessly subjugated as the Buddhist Church of China. The principal difference between the position of Chinese and Tibetan Buddhism is this that the dignity of the Church is not so ruthlessly trodden under foot by the secular power as it is in China. But, on the other hand, whilst the Chinese Buddhist Church is the bondservant



---

of its own nation, the Tibetan Church is enslaved by a foreign power.

### *HISTORIC VALUE OF BUDDHISM.*

§ 19.—In conclusion, I will only say, that Buddhism, considered merely as an event in history, seems to me to have been more of a blessing than a curse.

I sincerely believe, that Buddhism has fulfilled a great mission which it was appointed to fulfil by the providence of God. Rude and isolated tribes which were living in a state of utter savageness, were sought out and brought into a state of semi-civilisation, which is the more apparent, if we consider in what a savage state all those tribes remained which rejected Buddhism.

What the Mongols were before they became Buddhists, is written with blood on the pages of Asiatic history. Those very countries and peoples, which were shut out from centres of civilisation by mountains and deserts, were visited and brought under the influence of morality by those indefatigable Buddhist zealots, for whom no mountain was too high, no desert too dreary. In countries like China and Japan, where Buddhism found a sort of civilisation existing, it acted like a dissolving acid, undermining the existing religious systems, and thus preparing the way for a new religion to enter,—for Christianity, if *we* had but half the faith and perseverance that inspired those disciples of Buddha.



## LECTURE THE SECOND.

### BUDDHISM, A THEORETICAL SYSTEM.

---

#### *THE DOCTRINES OF BUDDHISM.*

§ 20.—In the preceding lecture on the subject of Buddhism I treated this grand system of religion merely as an event in history, and endeavoured to give to my readers an outline of its origin, rise and progress, combined with a brief sketch of its present condition and extent. If I have not altogether failed in my attempt to define the place which Buddhism occupies in the history of the world, and to assist the reader in forming a correct estimate of the manner in which it fulfilled its great mission to one third of the human race, he must have felt with me, that Buddhism is but a voice that crieth in the wilderness.

The religion of Buddhism arose from a natural reaction and protest against the abnormal features, religious and social, of Brahmanism. It was fostered and sustained by the instinctive cry of the better part in human nature for release from the misery and hollowness of this present evil world; and thus it succeeded in spreading more or less throughout Eastern Asia a lively yearning for an invisible



better world, for a paradise of peace and happiness beyond the range of mortal ken.

But it remains now to show what it was that voice proclaimed, what means it employed to rouse the dormant conscience, what food it offered to hungry and thirsty souls, what discipline it enforced to regulate man's conduct, what elements of truth it conveyed to the seekers of it. In one word, having viewed Buddhism as an event in history, I now proceed to consider Buddhism as a religious system, from a doctrinal point of view.

#### *DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUDDHIST DOGMA.*

§ 21.—No religion on earth has ever remained stationary for any length of time. The Christianity of to-day is not and cannot be made to return to what it was eighteen hundred years ago. The Buddhist religion has undergone still more changes in the course of time, through the absence of a written canon at its first starting, through the influence of oral propagation and tradition, through contact with different religions and forms of superstition, and—last but not least—through the reaction of different nationalities which it more or less fully conquered.

Naturally therefore I feel tempted to again treat our subject historically. I might start with a sketch of the Buddhist dogma in its primitive form, as it first came out of the hands of him who gave to it the characteristics and general shape which no after revolution has been able wholly to efface. It was then a system, diametrically opposed to Brahmanism whence it had arisen and yet still



possessing many marks of resemblance; a conglomeration of ideas, partly original, partly borrowed from Brahmanism and early Shivaism, but now clothed in the new garb of Buddhism, hastily thrown over and as yet as ill fitting as Saul's armour upon David.

I might then note the first attempt made to reduce the chaos of new and borrowed ideas into systematic order, the first phase of the development through which the Buddhist dogma passed. This system was subsequently characterized by the name of the Hinâyana system, or the School of the small conveyance, a name referring to the various means by which consecutive forms of Buddhism offered to 'convey' the believer across the ocean of misery, to the shores of salvation, into the haven of Nirvâna.

This first period in the development of the Buddhist dogma is called the small conveyance, because the forms of doctrine and of worship were limited, plain, and simple then, compared with the elaborate systems of after times. Buddhism was then a system of exclusively moral asceticism, teaching certain commandments, rigorously enforcing an ascetic life of the strictest morality, temperance, and active, self-denying and self-sacrificing charity.

But soon after the beginning of our Christian era, when Buddhism had overcome its first difficulties and had leisure to enjoy the first taste of triumph, having spread from India to Ceylon, and northwards, through the Punjab, into Central Asia and across the Himalaya as far as China,—the energetic, practical asceticism of the Hinâyana school was replaced by a new phase of doctrine,



---

called the Mahâyana system, or the School of the great conveyance. The characteristics of this system are an excess of transcendental speculation, which soon drifted into listless quietism or abstract nihilism, and substituted fanciful degrees of contemplation and ecstatic meditation for plain practical morality.

It was the former School, the system of the small conveyance, that produced the men who actually resigned their all, and with irresistible energy and enthusiasm spread Buddhism far and wide all over Eastern Asia, the men, who for their faith in Buddha scaled the snowy mountains of the Himalaya and crossed the sandy deserts of Central Asia. But now this Mahâyana system, this School of the great conveyance, with its refined philosophy and abstruse metaphysics, with its elaborate ritual and idolatrous symbolism, produced an entirely different type of heroes; men, who would glory in public disputations, who would let the most subtle dialectician come forth and split a hair,—they would split it over and over again; men, who would retire into the stillness of deserts or the solitude of mountain-dens, or shut themselves up in the monotony of cloister life, to muse, brood and dream, like Tennyson's lotos-eaters; men, who like the first Chinese patriarch would sit twelve years gazing at a wall without moving, without speaking, without thinking.

The Hinâyana School however remained, though overpowered, yet still exerting some influence, and an attempt was made in the so-called Madhyimâyana School, or the system of the medium conveyance, to combine the



above mentioned two Schools, to find the golden mean between practical asceticism and quietistic transcendentalism, but—like all compromises—it never gained much influence and found but few followers.

For a new system soon arose, more powerful, more fascinating than any of its predecessors. It is known by the name of the Tantra School. The hermits of the mountains had become acquainted with the medicinal properties of many herbs and professed to possess the elixir of immortality (which—I suspect—was but opium from India). The monks in the cloisters had become adepts in the black art, and gained popularity now as mantists, sorcerers and exorcists, who would banish drought, famine, pestilence, disease and devils by magic incantations. Thus practically useful, and fortified by alliance with the various forms of popular superstition, the Tantra School extracted moreover from the Mahâyana system all that was congenial with its own tendencies, and thus produced a new system of practical philosophic mysticism, sorcery and witchcraft, and overlaid the ritual of the Buddhist Church with fantastic ceremonies and mystic liturgies.

It was this School that turned out the priests, who, as rain-makers, geomancers, or astrologers, duped emperors and peoples, and who exercise to the present day in the whole of Eastern Asia the strongest influence over the lower classes, as sorcerers, exorcists and physicians. They chant the litanies for the dead; they save souls from hell. But while the Tantra School thus gained the day with the multitude, through its practical usefulness and politic ac-





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



*SUMMARY OF THE BUDDHIST DOGMA.*

§ 22.—I prefer therefore to adopt a different course. Instead of building up before the eyes of my readers the whole edifice of Buddhist doctrines from the very foundations, instead of showing to them how one stone was laid upon the other, how one tier was raised upon the other, how one gallery intercommunicates with or crosses the other, I will give but a general sketch of the completed structure, a bird's-eye view of the whole.

Vast, intricate, and puzzling as the system of Buddhism appears to any one that enters its sacred halls, wanders from shrine to shrine, through its temples and cloisters, gazes at its pagodas and images, or searches through its libraries, rich in ancient and modern lore,—the whole labyrinth becomes plain and intelligible, when looked at from a distance, when one sees the very ground plan on which it has been constructed, when no bewildering details obstruct the view of the grand, simple and natural outlines of the whole.

One plan, clear and distinct, underlies the whole network of Buddhist doctrines. One continuous thread runs straight through the whole tangled woof of seeming dogmatic confusion. In spite of the changes which time, difference of nationalities, different Schools and modes of thinking have wrought, there is still discernible a group of fundamental doctrines which remained through all ages, in all countries, the common property of all Buddhists.



---

And these very doctrines will be found to contain the essence and substance of the whole system.

I propose therefore to treat my subject analytically. I will first of all take hold of those general characteristics, arrange them systematically, and examine them more or less minutely. Then I may go on to the disputed points, to the points of difference, and see how Buddhism varies in different countries. The latter subject will however be reserved for the third lecture.

### *PECULIARITIES OF BUDDHIST DOGMATICS.*

§ 23.—One remark more is necessary, before I can begin with this task. The materials for a systematic exposition of the Buddhist dogma, in an intelligible and scientific form, are not ready to hand, and we find especially that they do not easily fit into our way of expressing thoughts and connecting ideas.

Again, there is nowhere in Buddhist literature a *Hutterus redivivus*, a concise but complete compendium of the whole range of dogmas; there is no catechism that would give you the whole system in a condensed, popular and intelligible form. One has to search through all the mines of Buddhist literature, hunt up a stone here and there, quarry it, dress it, before you can handle it with the finer tools of European logic and fit it into the systematic classifications of Western thought.

Asiatic diction loves to clothe naked truths in the gaudy glittering apparel of symbolic, typical and allegorical language. As Asiatic architecture is characterized by



richness of decoration, thus the grand structure of Buddhist dogmatology is so encumbered and overlaid with fantastic ornament, most of its truths are so disguised in the form of myths, fables, parables or symbols, that many mistake the outer form for the substance, the shell for the kernel, and the result is, that a very master thought of vast speculative depth becomes ridiculous nonsense in the hand of a superficial expositor.

I will give but one example. It is said, for instance, in all Buddhist works treating on cosmogony, that every universe comes into existence in the following manner: out of the chaos of waters rises a lotos flower, out of this flower rises the universe unfolding successively its various spheres, heavenly and terrestrial. Now, this same idea one may see repeated in popular Buddhist literature, illustrated by wood-cuts which represent the chaos of water, with a thousand flowers floating on it, each lotos flower supporting a whole universe. And European expositors of Buddhism, repeating this gross representation of a speculative truth, treat it as a piece of absurdity, fancying that it is the belief of Buddhists that every universe sprouts out of an actual lotos flower of gigantic dimensions! But in reality the whole is a mere simile, and the idea conveyed in this flowery language of Buddhism is of highly poetic and truly speculative import, amounting to this: that, as a lotos flower, growing out of a hidden germ beneath the water, rises up, slowly, mysteriously, until it suddenly appears above the surface and unfolds its bud, leaves and pistils, in marvellous richness of colour and chastest beauty of



form; thus also, in the system of worlds, each single universe rises into being, evolved out of a primitive germ, the first origin of which is veiled in mystery, and finally emerges out of the chaos, gradually unfolding itself, one kingdom of nature succeeding the other, all forming one compact whole, pervaded by one breath, but varied in beauty and form. Truly an idea, so far removed from nonsense, that it might be taken for an utterance of Darwin himself. It reminds one, in fact, of that unpretending little poem of Tennyson's:

Flower in the crannied wall,  
I pluck you out of the crannies;—  
Hold you here, root and all, in my hand,  
Little flower—but if I could understand  
What you are, root and all, and all in all,  
I should know what God and man is.

In the same way many other doctrines of Buddhism, handed down from antiquity in language borrowed from types of vegetable or animal life, in allegories or by the use of symbols and mystic emblems, have been misunderstood and superciliously classed among antiquated notions and infantile babblings.

But considering that Buddhism was started when humanity was in its infancy, and that Buddhism addressed itself to the primary work of educating savage tribes, it was not only natural, but educationally wise, when it chose a crude, imperfect, infantile mode of expressing its thoughts, when it spoke to those rude tribes of Asia, children as they were, in the language of children.

I do not deny that, in many cases, and especially in all



references to cosmology, astronomy, geography, and all other branches of natural science, it is not only the form of expression, but the ideas themselves, that may be called childish. The Buddhist scriptures have not observed the wise reticence with regard to natural science by which our Christian bible is marked. They abound therefore with statements of extreme absurdity. They tell us, for instance, with the utmost gravity and with the authority of inspiration, that in the centre of every universe there is a high mountain, the lofty peak of which supports the heavenly mansions, whilst at the foot of this same mountain, far beneath the earth, there are grouped the innumerable chambers of hell; they tell us that in the centre of the Himalaya mountains there is one large sea, from which all the large rivers of the world take their origin, the Hoangho, the Ganges, the Indus and the Oxus; they inform us that the sea water contains salt, because the dragon god of the ocean, whenever one of his temporary fits of rage comes over him, throws up with his gigantic tail volumes of water which inundate even the heavenly mansions above, and it is this water which in flowing back carries with it all the filth accumulated in the drains and sewers of heaven, and thus, we are told, it happens that the sea water gets its peculiar taste.

I allude to these things merely to indicate that I believe it would be unjust to pick out any of those queer and childish sayings with which the Buddhist scriptures and especially popular Buddhist books abound, and to lead people to imagine that Buddhism is little better



---

than a string of nonsense. But I may add that it is even doubtful whether the earliest Buddhist texts contained such statements at all.

The Buddhists never cared to preserve their sacred scriptures in their original integrity. Unlike our bible, the Buddhist canon has undergone wholesale textual alterations; it has been edited and re-edited a great many times, and every editor introduced into the text the favourite ideas of his time and his School.

As to the popular literature of Buddhism and its absurdities, we might as well collect those little pamphlets on dreams, on sorcery, on lucky and unlucky days, on the lives and miracles of saints, which circulate among Roman Catholic peasants,—but would that give us a true picture of Roman Catholicism? Thus it is with Buddhism.

Those crude, childish and absurd notions concerning the universe and physical science do not constitute Buddhism. This great religion, imperfect and false as it is to a great extent, does not stand or fall with such absurdities. They are merely accidental, unimportant outworks, which may fall by the advance of knowledge, which may be rased to the ground by the progress of civilisation, and yet the Buddhist fortress may remain as strong, as impregnable, as before. A Buddhist may adopt all the results of modern science, he may become a follower of Newton, a disciple of Darwin, and yet remain a Buddhist.

The strong point of Buddhism lies in its morality, and this morality is, to say the least, equal to the secular



morality of our civilised world. It is not secular civilisation therefore, but Christianity alone, that has a chance against Buddhism, because Christianity alone teaches a morality loftier, stronger, holier than that of Buddhism, because Christianity alone satisfies the soul's craving for personal communion with a personal Saviour, because Christianity alone can touch, can convert the heart, for there—in the heart of the natural man—it is where the roots of Buddhism lie.

### *THE BUDDHIST THEORY OF PHYSICS.*

§ 24.—I remarked above, that there is a train of ideas which form the foundation of the whole system of Buddhism and have been retained through all ages and in all countries as the common property of all Buddhists. To place these fundamental doctrines before my readers in a connected systematic form, I will begin with the Buddhist views of physical nature.

Before Buddhism arose, the thinking minds of India had been taught to look upon the visible universe 'as one stupendous whole, whose body nature is and God the soul.' But this God, or Brahma, was viewed only in a pantheistic sense, as an impersonal substance, as the one uncreated, self-existent, immutable entity, from which the whole universe emanated, which pervades all forms of existence as the principle of life pervades the body, and into which all will ultimately be re-absorbed.

Buddhism took a different view of the universe. Buddhism knows no creative prime agent, no supramundane or





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



honest and praiseworthy as it is, reveals to us the weakness of this system of Atheism. It acknowledged a design in nature, it recognized immutable laws underlying the endless modifications of organic and inorganic life, and attained, even so long as two thousand years ago, to that remarkable Darwinian idea of a pre-existing spontaneous tendency to variation as the real cause of the origin of species, but—like Darwin and his School—it stopped short of pointing out Him, who originated the first commencement of that so-called spontaneous tendency, and who laid into nature the law which regulates the whole process of natural selection, God, the creator and sustainer of the universe.

Regarding the way in which each world system rises into being out of the germ of a previous universe, the Buddhist scriptures speak in a rather obscure phraseology. Out of the chaos, produced by the destruction of a former universe, rises a cloud which sends down fructifying rain. Thereupon numberless buds of new worlds sprout up like lotos flowers, floating on the water, each world developing first its sublimest heavenly portion and then its terrestrial parts. In the latter also the lower regions and forms of existence are developed out of the nobler ones, the lower classes of sentient beings out of the higher ones. The earth itself is formed out of a mould that resembles the honey distilled in the cup of the lotos. The whole surface of the earth is of a golden colour, emitting a delightful fragrance, whilst a liquid is exuded that forms the first ambrosian food of sentient beings.



---

This whole process of formation is supported by four winds, a moist wind, a dry wind, a strengthening wind, and a beautifying wind; also by five clouds or atmospheric influences, one that destroys all heat, one that saturates all with moisture, one that dries up all moisture, one that produces the minerals, and one that keeps the different worlds asunder and produces a chasm between heaven and earth. This is called the period (Kalpa) of formation.

Then comes the stationary period, a time of continued formation, at the opening of which in each world a sun and a moon rise out of the water, whereupon—in consequence of the coarser food of which sentient beings begin to partake—arises the difference of sex, before not existing; soon after, heroes distinguish themselves above their fellows, and next, with the distinction of the four castes, society is established, and monarchs arise, followed in due course by Buddhas.

This period of continued formation is succeeded by a time of gradual destruction through the elements of water, fire and wind, the work of destruction beginning in every universe at the lowest forms and reaching to the highest, leaving however a germ for future re-construction untouched.

The period of destruction is supplemented by a period of continued destruction, working on the same principles and resulting finally in a total chaos, called the period of emptiness, which in turn again is followed by periods of formation, continued formation, destruc-



tion, continued destruction, emptiness and so on in endless succession.

But in spite of these alternate destructions and renovations of every universe, each successive world is homogeneous in its constituent parts, having the same continents of the same size, the same divisions of mountains, river-systems, nationalities, countries and even cities though under different names. Again, every world in all the infinite systems of the cosmos is floating in empty space, each earth having nothing beneath it but the circumambient air, whilst the interior of each earth is incandescent.

The structure also of each earth is the same in every universe. Four continents lie around a gigantic central mountain, about which sun, moon, planets and stars revolve. But the four continents are separated from each other by the sea and from the central mountain (which in fact represents the axis of the world) by seven concentric circles of rocks, each separated from the other by an ocean, an idea suggested probably by the orbit of the seven planets.

Above that central mountain are ranged the various tiers of heavens, inhabited like our earth by sentient beings, called *dêvas*, who take a constant interest in the spread of the Buddhist religion on earth. These heavens are however very different from the Christian idea of a heavenly paradise, for they represent but different stages of moral and intellectual life, and though the inhabitants of these heavens enjoy comparatively greater happiness and length of life than any being on earth, they live in the



flesh and are subject to the same evils that flesh is heir to, though in a minor degree, in proportion to their moral and intellectual advancement.

At the foot of that central mountain are ranged the various tiers of hell, and as the heavens increase in ascending gradation in purity and happiness of life, so these hells increase downwards in horror and duration of torture, the lowest hell being the worst gehenna. Strange to say, though the Buddhists know a devil, whom they call Mâra, and ascribe to him the power of assuming any shape he pleases, especially that of woman, in order to tempt men from the path of virtue, the abode of this Satan is not in hell, he rules in the air like the Christian or rather anti-Christian prince of the power of the air. The Buddhist hells are ruled by Yama, who himself is suffering torture there, being among the hosts of criminals but *primus inter pares*.

### *THE BUDDHIST THEORY OF METEMPSYCHOSIS.*

§ 25.—Now those heavens above and all the heavenly bodies, the hells below with their innumerable chambers, the earth and even the air that surrounds the earth, all these localities are peopled with sentient beings, divided indeed into different classes, but all form one community of living beings, all pervaded by the desire to live, all doomed to die. Neither the pains of hell nor the joys of heaven are endless. Everywhere there is death. And death is everywhere followed, so long as the desire of existence has not been overcome, by re-birth either into one of the hells



or heavens, or on earth or in the air, in some form of sentient existence or other, the particular condition of each individual being determined by the accumulated merit or demerit of his or her previous existence.

Here we have the doctrine of transmigration. It is not an invention of Buddhism, though it fits marvellously well into its conception of the universe with its rotation of formation and renovation. Long before Buddha arose, metempsychosis was taught by Brahmanism. In fact, the fountain source of this doctrine may be traced back to the oldest code book of Asiatic nations, to the Vêda itself, which plainly taught the immortality of the soul and accustomed the Hindoo mind to consider death as but a second birth, thus paving the way for the development which the dogma of metempsychosis soon after received by succeeding generations.

It was the system of Brahmanism that first promulgated in India the idea of transmigration. Of Brahma it is said in the Upanishads and in the code of Manu, that the whole universe emanated from it, by evolution, not by creation. But as everything emanates from Brahma, so everything returns to it. Brahma is the alpha and omega, it is both the fountain from which the stream of life breaks forth and the ocean into which it hastens to lose itself.

Thus the human soul emanates from Brahma, descends to a contact with matter, defiles itself and has therefore to pass through all the different gradations of animate nature, from the lowest form of existence to the highest



---

and noblest, before it is purified enough to be fit for a final return into the pure shoreless ocean of Brahma. All nature is animate to the pantheist, and the circle of transmigration is therefore of immense width. The soul may after the dissolution of the body ascend to the moon, to be clothed in a watery form, and returning pass successively through ether, air, vapour, mist and cloud into rain, and thus find its way into a vegetating plant and thence through the medium of nourishment into an animal embryo.

Only those who have succeeded in destroying all selfish thoughts and feelings by means of mental abstraction, the saints only, will rest after death by being freed from all distinctions of form or name; they will be dissolved into Brahma, with which they commingle and in which they lose themselves like a river in the ocean. Those however, who during their life-time indulged in selfishness, lust and passions, will be subject to innumerable births according to their moral condition. Every breathing being will after death be reborn in accordance with the general tendency of its inner life. Those who were moved by noble instincts or motives will be reborn as men of a high caste. To those who were inflamed by low desires and passions, a lower caste will be allotted in their next birth, whilst those who degraded their souls by beastly desires will be reborn as beasts, say as rats or pigs or tigers. Their souls may even descend to a still lower circle of transmigration and, in the way above mentioned, be finally reborn as plants, whence they will have to work their way up again through



the class of beasts and the various classes of human society, until they at last reach the goal of Brahma by continued self-purification.

Such are the main outlines of this grand popular system. It starts with the idea handed down from primitive antiquity by the Vêda, that the soul is indestructible and immortal because it is of divine origin. It proceeds then to work out the general principle, that every soul must be materially what it is spiritually, that is to say, it must be clothed in a body the nature of which corresponds to the inmost bent of the mind; a beastly man must be reborn a beast, a godly man must be united with God. Dividing the empire of nature according to the different castes of Hindoo society, it lays down the rule that the soul, as it gradually purifies itself from contact with matter, may have to pass through some or all of the different classes of nature until it is finally united with the deity. For only in absolute union and absorption in the deity can be found peace, rest and happiness.

Buddha adopted this pantheistic dogma of metempsychosis, though not without remoulding and recasting it so as to fit into his own atheistic system. He first of all stripped this Brahmanic idea of the soul's transmigration of the metaphysical garb in which his contemporaries had received it through the Vedanta philosophy. In vain we search Buddhist literature for a metaphysical treatment of this deeply interesting problem. In vain we search for a distinct notion of the origin of each individual soul, which the Vedanta philosophy placed in Brahma.





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



moral character. According to this view, the individual who is re-born is therefore not, as the term soul might lead one to suppose, the personal resultant of the sum total of mental phenomena which made up the individual who died, but the personification of the moral habits of the latter. The personal connection between the individual who died and the individual re-born is by no means viewed as a physical or psychic identity, but simply as a moral and personal relation of cause and effect, the same chain of causal connection extending backwards through innumerable aeons in each case. In other words, each sentient being is the product of its own moral worth, each living individual is the fruit which in course of time has grown up from the seed which that individual sowed by his moral or immoral conduct in a previous form of existence.

Again, the Brahmans looked upon the stream of transmigration as flowing on by its own innate force, each soul being driven on by its own tendency, gravitating towards its original source, Brahma. Consequently transmigration was but a stream of that tendency which makes for righteousness, a natural process, ruled by the laws of nature. Buddha remodelled this doctrine into a moral process guided by the will and ruled by the moral or immoral actions of each individual person. Man, he said, is doomed to pilgrimage through the whole creation only as far he himself will have it. He is the maker of his own fate. Happiness and misery lie in his own hands. As his present condition has been determined by his previous appearance in human existence, so will his future position



---

be dependent on his actions in this present life. Cruelty, covetousness, falsehood, lust, drunkenness and other vices will heap up a stock of demerit producing re-birth in one of the hells or at least in some wretched condition of life upon earth, according to the amount of demerit in store. The practice of the opposite virtues will insure re-birth in one of the heavens or in some desirable condition upon earth according to the store of accumulated merit. But all such rewards or punishments, awarded during the pilgrimage in the course of which each individual passes through manifold repetitions of sentient existence, will continue only for a limited period and by no means for ever and ever. Transmigration therefore, and its bitterest sting, hell with all its horrors of torture, is but a sort of purgatory, a chastisement, not aimless punishment, is but intended to purify, to wean man from his cleaving to existence, to expiate the sinful guilty being, not to extinguish it.

Another difference observable between the Brahmanic and Buddhist conceptions of the doctrine of metempsychosis is this, that Buddhism narrowed the circle of transmigration. Whilst according to the Upanishads the path of transmigration passes even through inanimate nature, through the mineral and vegetable kingdoms, Buddha limited it to the sphere of animal organic life. No doubt, he did so, because he looked upon transmigration altogether as a moral process.

Of course this dogma of the soul's pilgrimage through nature is a mighty weapon in the hands of an eloquent



preacher. There is nothing so very frightful to us descendants of Western nations in the idea of transmigration. There may be rather something attractive in it for many. For life is to us the highest blessing and death we hate. Many would therefore submit to a thousand deaths if they were to live again a thousand times even at the expense of the continuity of personal consciousness, and they would not care much how their lives might be, for life is precious to us in itself. But it is a different thing altogether with the sons of hot climates, with the indolent native of India, with the sedentary Chinaman. To him life itself has no particular fascination. He counts death—if he may rest after that—a blessing. To suffer, to suffer even the fiercest tortures of hell, to suffer even for millions of years, is not half as frightful an idea to him as to be forced to act, to labour, to work for æons, being subject to death, indeed, but with no welcome rest after death, being condemned to die, only to be immediately reborn again, perhaps as a hard-worked animal or an unclean cur. This is the view which makes the hearts of Oriental nations tremble with terror, and this is the weapon with which eloquent Buddhist priests subdued the stubborn hearts of Eastern Asia.

The clever founder of Buddhism, Shâkyamuni himself, knew this well, and therefore he made this dogma of the soul's transmigration the very centre of his system, and daily he preached it, and daily his fanatic followers spread this doctrine farther and farther. They did not handle it, however, as a tenet of speculative philosophy, they did



---

not treat it as a sort of esoteric mystery, only to be revealed to the initiated, but, directly appealing to man's moral conscience, they proffered this doctrine to all as the only satisfactory explanation of the unequal distribution of rewards and punishments for good and evil in this present world. Thus practically dealing with the doctrine of metempsychosis, they passed over in silence all those bootless metaphysical questions which Brahmanism had been so busy with.

The consequence of these tactics was, that Buddhism succeeded in bringing home this doctrine to every heart in all its practical bearings, so that at the present day every class of people in Buddhist countries, educated and uneducated, young and old, man and woman, among half-civilized and among barbarous nomadical communities, think and speak and act in perfect accordance with this dogma. It is to them exactly what hell and damnation is to Christian peoples.

Naturally therefore the question arises, what escape is there from this dizzy round of birth and death, what ultimate goal is there beyond the circle of transmigration? The answer is, there is indeed an escape. The means of it lie in morality and meditation, and the haven of final deliverance is Nirvâna.

This answer, echoed with perfect unanimity by all Buddhist Schools, though they differ from each other as to the relative merits of morality and meditation and as to the nature of Nirvâna, makes it necessary for a complete sketch of the Buddhist dogma to discuss these further points, the



system of morality, speculative philosophy and the doctrine of Nirvâna. I will do so as briefly as possible.

### *THE BUDDHIST THEORY OF ETHICS.*

§ 26.—The Buddhist system of morality is based on the example of Buddha's life. Imitate Buddha, conform yourself as much as possible to this type of perfection: such is the supreme precept of the Buddhist religion. Now Buddha distinguished himself first of all during his 550 previous births by self-forgetting, self-sacrificing charity. To get rid of self, therefore, is the primary condition of a holy life. He who is without desire, dead to himself, he alone truly lives. This may be considered the elementary principle of Buddhist morality.

But as Buddha in his last birth renounced not only his own self, but the world and all worldly good and pleasure, as he left society, retired into solitude, observed the strictest chastity and temperance, Buddhist morality makes correspondingly further demands upon the self-denial of its adherents. The first five commandments of the Buddhist religion run as follow: thou shalt not kill that which has life, thou shalt not steal, thou shalt not commit any unchaste act, thou shalt not lie, thou shalt not drink any intoxicating liquor.

Here we have no doubt the form and extent of the system of Buddhist morality as it was originally promulgated. We observe that the ruling idea of this code of morality is the idea of absolute self-renunciation. Human life appeared to Buddha to be full of misery because of its



being full of selfish desire, whence he inferred, that the path of deliverance lies in the entire renunciation of all selfish desire, in the complete extirpation even of the desire of existence itself.

Here lies the moral strength of Buddhism. It is a religion of unselfishness. But here also lies the radical defect of Buddhism. For this idea of utter self-abnegation sprang in Buddha, but from a lively conviction of the impermanency and unreality of the world of sense, not from that aspiration after communing with a being of perfectly unselfish goodness, which kindled the genius of Plato and forms the deep root of Christian morality. Unconsciously impressed by the grandeur of the life of the universe as something boundless, permanent and absolute, the founder of Buddhism had nothing but contempt for the individual personal life as something narrow, transitory and finite. He enjoined absolute unselfishness, not because selfishness appeared to him mean and demeaning in itself, not because he recognized unselfishness as something noble and ennobling in itself, but because all individuality, all personal life was utterly worthless to his mind. He wished men to sink in themselves, not that they might thereby rise in God, but simply because he conceived existence to be in itself an absolute evil and the source of all misery.

Buddhist morality has, therefore an essentially negative character. It is a morality without a God and without a conscience. There appears in Buddhism an utter want of an active principle of goodness. Buddhist morality does not endeavour to produce in man a conviction of sin, it



does not appeal to his own inner sense of moral goodness. Buddhism does not attempt to purify the affections, to govern desire, to control passion, to renovate the heart, to regenerate, to sanctify the whole being. Its virtue is essentially negative. It enjoins men to cease from doing evil, it demands the total extinction of all desire, of all passion, but stops short of urging men to do good and has no assistance to offer by way of strengthening the conscience in its struggle with the powers of evil.

This very absence of an active principle of goodness, the denial of God and the disregard of the human conscience, gave to Buddhist morality that pessimist character, that spirit of melancholy despair which it breathes. When Shâkyamuni became a Buddha through recognising that everything earthly is impermanent and unreal, that human existence is necessarily and inseparably wedded to misery, he was simply in a state of moral and intellectual despair. He threw overboard all faith in God and moral consciousness; he abandoned all hope for the actual world which appeared to him radically and irremediably bad; he saw no way of escape but that of the extinction of existence itself. The greatest happiness, he said, is not to be born, the next greatest is for those who have been born to die soon.

It was however but a consistent development of Buddha's own ethical principles when his followers, feeling the want of a positive code of morality, constructed a moral system, the chief characteristics of which are comprehended in a formula that was ever after the rudimentary confession





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



the primary work of taming savage tribes whom it weaned from habits of cruelty, blood-thirstiness and bestiality, whose intemperate habits were successfully checked by enjoining complete abstinence, and who were taught to obey the law and to submit to the rules of morality, and thus prepared for civilisation.

Again, this system of monasticism, which offered a welcome to people of all classes and all nations, formed an excellent substitute for the narrow-minded exclusiveness of caste in India. In countries where Buddhism failed to extirpate caste, as for instance in Ceylon, this monastic and ecclesiastical system modified the pretensions of caste and counterbalanced its evils. In other countries, where warfare, despotism and feudal systems lacerated the peace of Asiatic peoples, producing even greater evils than caste in India ever did, there this Buddhist system of monasticism came in most suitably, teaching the equality of all nations and establishing a common brotherhood, a grand international league of morality, fraternity and abstinence.

On the other hand, every system of monasticism is productive of evil tendencies and serves to cramp the intellect. The self-abnegation originally involved in giving up a worldly life is soon for consistency's sake supplemented by a life of selfish seclusion. Monasticism is also detrimental to a healthy development of the intellectual faculties, as history and experience abundantly prove.

In the case of Buddhism I need only point to the fact, that it produced no literature worthy to be compared



---

with even that of China, let alone that of European nations; that it never encouraged art or science; that it failed to comprehend the importance of any of the problems of political and social life, and that Buddhist priests are now-a-days generally noted for their ignorance and indolence. Moreover this grand system of Buddhist monachism inherited the inevitable tendency of every system of minutely regulated observances, to degenerate into an external formalism. When the first burst of enthusiasm has passed, the religion that overleaps all earthly piety soon collapses into a religion of forms, into a system of Phariseeism equally irrational and immoral. This has actually happened in the case of Buddhism.

But the best test for the value of any system of morality is the position it assigns to woman. Here Buddhist morality is found signally wanting. The system of Buddhism leaves woman where it found her two thousand years ago. Instead of educating and elevating her, instead of breaking those chains of slavery in which women were held all over Asia, instead of giving woman a position in society worthy of her innate purity, Buddhism grudgingly allowed women a place in the hierarchy as nuns, but with the distinct understanding that there was no hope of salvation for them unless through being reborn as men.

This idea of re-birth brings us to the last and most important defect of Buddhist morality. The idea of transmigration pervades the whole system of Buddhist ethics like a deadly poison. For the theory of a man's destiny, being entirely determined by the stock of merits and



demerits accumulated in previous forms of existence, constitutes Buddhism a system of fatalism; whilst the idea of improving one's future prospect by works of supererogation, converts morality into a vast scheme of profit and loss.

Hence the Chinese Buddhist actually keeps a debtor and creditor account with himself of the acts of each day, and at the end of the year he closes his current account and makes out a balance-sheet. If the balance is in his favour, it is carried on to the account of the next year, but if the balance is against him, something extra must be done in the way of donations to the Church, or by means of deeds of charity or penance, to make up for the deficit.

Thus it happens, that this grand moral system of Buddhism, starting with the idea of the entire renunciation of self, ends in that downright selfishness, which abhors crime not because of its sinfulness, but because it is a personal injury, which sees no moral pollution in sin, but merely a calamity to be deprecated, or a misfortune to be shunned.

### *BUDDHIST PHILOSOPHY.*

§ 27.—Morality however is not considered in itself sufficient to break through the circle of transmigration, to carry men across the ocean of misery, to save them from the evils of existence. The object of morality is to practically extinguish the passions, to root out desire. But the deepest root, the first cause of desire, lies in theoretical ignorance, misconception, delusion.



To eradicate this delusion, therefore, to remove this ignorance and misconception regarding the outer and inner world, would be the final means of deliverance, would rid the self of all the trammels of existence, would actually lift the individual practically and theoretically out of the circle of transmigration and land him on the shores of Nirvâna. In one word, whilst morality practically extinguishes the desire of existence, abstract meditation or speculative philosophy extinguishes existence itself.

Morality and philosophy are therefore indispensable to each other, whatever their relative importance may be. As Christianity requires both, good works and faith, thus also Buddhism bases the idea of salvation on a combination of the two factors, moral action and abstract thought or intelligence.

As Buddhist morality requires men to imitate the doings of Buddha, thus also Buddhist philosophy invites men to conform to and to follow up the very idea of Buddha, for the word Buddha means literally one who knows, *i.e.* one who knows the unreality of all phenomena, which knowledge is looked upon as the result of abstract meditation. The way in which Buddha departed from this world, by the mental process of inwardly realising the total impermanency and nothingness of all earthly forms of existence, of overcoming not only the desire of existence, but destroying existence itself by a purely intellectual logical process,—this is the object of Buddhist philosophy, this is the final path to Nirvâna.

Unfortunately however Buddha's followers differed



from each other in no other detail of doctrine so much as in the manner in which they built up their systems of philosophy. They seemed to take a delight in contradicting each other, and the consequence was, that Buddhism split into a great number of different philosophical Schools, each starting from the same circle of ideas, as given above, and each coming to pretty nearly the same result, to the idea of Nirvâna. Buddhism developed nominalistic and realistic Schools, divided itself into Schools of Idealism and Materialism, produced systems of Positivism and Nihilism. And there is very little they have in common with each other beyond the following propositions, which form the fundamental ideas of the philosophic systems of all shades and all ages in the sphere of Buddhist orthodoxy.

All start from the so-called four truths (Aryânisa-tyâni) or the idea that misery is a necessary attribute of sentient existence, that the accumulation of misery is caused by desire, that the extinction of desire is possible and that there is a path that leads to that extinction. Another leading proposition, common to all Schools, is this, that individual existence (personality) is made up by the following five constituents (Skandhas), the organized body, sensation, perception, discrimination and consciousness.

Again, there is tolerable unanimity as to a certain concatenation of cause and effect, which is considered to form the real explanation of the riddle of existence. There are twelve links (Nidânas) in this endless chain of cause and effect. Existence, it is said, is caused by (1) ignorance or delusion; ignorance produced (2) action;



from action arises (3) consciousness, thence (4) substantiality, thence (5) the six organs of perception (eye, ear, nose, tongue, body and mind); from the action of these organs arises (6) sensation, thence comes (7) perception; thence (8) desire or lust; from this desire springs (9) the cleaving to existence, which produces (10) individual existence; the latter finds its expression in (11) birth, but birth invariably produces (12) decrepitude and death, and death, though it breaks up the above-mentioned five constituents (Skandhas) of individual life, leaves behind the reproductive power, a germ as it were, which has to run the same round again through ignorance, action, consciousness and so forth.

Here we have then again, in philosophy also, the same circle, which we observed before in the system of the physical universe and—in the form of transmigration—in the moral order of the world.

We see, therefore, how fitly Buddhism at the very outset adopted the emblem of a wheel in order to typify the leading characteristics of its faith. What the cross is to the Christian Church, emblematically pointing to the central truth of theoretical and practical Christianity, the same as regards fulness of significance is to the Buddhist his Dharma Tchakra, the so-called wheel of doctrine. As the Christian speaks of preaching the cross, so the Buddhist speaks of 'turning the wheel of doctrine.' For the idea of ceaseless rotation running through the whole system, branch and root, has made of Buddhism altogether a system of wheels within wheels. *Ecce signum.*



*NIRVANA.*

§ 28.—I have been turning this wheel before the eyes of my readers, and it is time now to stop it by way of pointing out what the final escape from this weary dizzy round is, which Buddhism offers by means of mental abstraction. What is Nirvâna?

There has been much dispute in the learned world among Buddhists and among European scholars, whether Nirvâna means absolute annihilation or not. I would humbly suggest, that if the learned writers on the subject, instead of presuming Buddhism to have been one and the same thing everywhere, and in all ages, instead of overlooking that Buddhism is one thing as a scientific system and another as a popular practical religion, had taken into consideration that there are as many different Buddhist denominations, schools and parties, as there are Christian sects, it would have saved much useless disputation.

The doctrine of Nirvâna, like all other Buddhist doctrines, has been differently handled in different ages, by different schools, writers and preachers. I have given much thought to the subject, and the conclusions I arrive at are these.

In the absence of ancient manuscripts and by reason of the repeated textual alterations which the Buddhist canon suffered before it was fixed in the form in which we now have it, it is practically impossible to determine what Shâkyamuni Buddha himself taught on the subject. He





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



annihilation of the personality and existence of each individual soul.

Modern philosophical Schools of Buddhism are all more or less influenced by a spirit of sophistic nihilism. They deal with Nirvâna as they deal with every other dogma, with heaven and hell: they deny its objective reality, placing it altogether in the abstract. They dissolve every proposition into a thesis and its anti-thesis and deny both. Thus they say Nirvâna is not annihilation, but they also deny its positive objective reality. According to them the soul enjoys in Nirvâna neither existence nor non-existence, it is neither eternal nor non-eternal, neither annihilated nor non-annihilated. Nirvâna is to them a state of which nothing can be said, to which no attributes can be given; it is altogether an abstract, devoid alike of all positive and all negative qualities.

### *VALUE OF BUDDHISM AS A THEORETICAL SYSTEM.*

§ 29.—What shall we say of such empty useless speculations, such sickly, dead words, whose fruitless sophistry offers to that natural yearning of the human heart after an eternal rest nothing better than—a philosophical myth?

It is but natural that a religion which started with moral and intellectual bankruptcy should end in moral and intellectual suicide. But sad, pitiably sad it is to see a religion that contains so many true ideas to produce results so barren, so deadly.

Bunsen was right in his estimate of the value of



---

this purposeless religion. Buddhism, he said, may be regarded as a sort of repose of humanity after its deliverance from the heavy yoke of Brahmanism and the wild orgies of nature worship. But this repose is that of a weary wanderer, who is withheld from the prosecution of God's work on this earth by his utter despair of the triumph of justice and truth in actual life. In the plan of the world's order it seems even now to be producing the effect of a mild dose of opium on the raving or despairing tribes of weary-hearted Asia.

The sleep lasts long, but it is a gentle one, and who knows how near may be the dawn of the resurrection morning?



## LECTURE THE THIRD.

### BUDDHISM, A POPULAR RELIGION.

---

#### *THE TRUTHS OF BUDDHISM.*

§ 30.—In the preceding lecture I exhibited Buddhism from a doctrinal point of view. I endeavoured to do so with due impartiality, taking for my basis the more ancient scriptures of the Buddhists and confining my remarks to those features of doctrine, which are the common property of all the various Buddhist Schools. The question now suggests itself, whether there is any truth at all in Buddhism as a system of doctrine?

No doubt Buddhism has brought to light many valuable and true ideas, and, being free from any trammels of nationality, it was peculiarly adapted to impress these truths upon all the peoples of Eastern Asia, among whom it obtained a footing. I have shown with what broad and enlarged views the Buddhists expounded that mysterious book of revelation, Nature, anticipating, centuries before Ptolemy, the latter's system of cycle and epicycle, orb in orb.





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



the Buddhists knew the interior of our earth to be in an incandescent state, they spoke of the formation of each earth as having occupied successive periods of incalculable duration, they strongly intimated that we are walking on the catacombs of dead generations, that we subsist on a world resting on tiers of worlds, piled up in successive strata through the continental function of an illimitable ocean.

Another spark of divine light which the Buddhists possessed is discernible in their recognizing and constantly teaching the most intimate connection between the visible and invisible worlds. They knew that things seen are not the only realities. They looked upon the planets as inhabited by multitudes, all eagerly listening to Buddha's preaching. They peopled the air with spirits, the firmament with legions of human beings, superior to ourselves in purity and happiness, but constantly intercommunicating with us pigmies. They saw heaven open to each aspiring soul and mansions prepared there for those of a pure and tranquil heart. They understood that an immense crowd of spectators is watching us unseen with intense interest, a crowd of devils grinning with delight at the progress of evil, and hosts of angelic beings rejoicing over the spread of truth on earth.

The Buddhist system of morality also possesses, in spite of the many defects which I pointed out in the preceding lecture, many praiseworthy features. It started with the recognition of sin and evil as the heir-loom of mortal man. It pointed out in the strongest terms the



---

impermanency and hollowness of everything earthly. It exhorted its devotees to extend love and charity to man and beast. It marked selfishness, lust and passion as the chief enemies of human happiness. It pointed out the superiority of the inward life over outward existence. It taught its adherents to look away from earthly sensual objects to regions invisible and inspired them—at least to a certain extent—with hopes of immortality.

### *DEFECTS OF BUDDHISM.*

§ 31.—On the other hand Buddhism is disfigured by some most important radical defects, which will in the estimation of an impartial critic far outweigh all the above mentioned points of advantage, and which in fact neutralize most of its beneficial elements. Whether we look upon Buddhism as a system of religion, morality or philosophy, we cannot help observing everywhere fundamental errors directly antagonistic to a healthy development of either the intellectual or moral faculties of mankind. But instead of repeating here all the detailed fallacies with which the Buddhist dogma is saturated and which I pointed out *en passant* in the preceding two lectures, it will suffice to give prominence to the most striking features, which mar the otherwise undeniable beauty of this grand system of natural religion.

Buddhism is intellectually defective. It arose from a feeling of spiritual bankruptcy and never after recovered its mental equilibrium. It is therefore essentially a religion of sullen despair, based on the total obliteration



of a healthy faith in the actual constitution of things, penetrated by a spirit of morose *abandon*, mental and moral, and resulting in a barren sophistic nihilism which fails to recognize in nature, in history, in human affairs the will of God, and never thought of interpreting that will by the dictates of human conscience. Buddhism is in fact a system of religion without hope and strictly speaking even without God, a system of morality without a conscience, a system of philosophy which wears either the mask of transcendental mysticism or of nihilistic cynicism.

Again, Buddhism is further intellectually weak, because of its prodigious fondness for the miraculous, because it comes into collision with the results of experimental investigation and especially also because it gives such undue preference to the transcendental and the future, that it is utterly incapable of comprehending or appreciating the claims of reality and the demands of the present.

Morally also Buddhism is found sadly wanting. Though professing to destroy self, its system of morality is pervaded by a spirit of calculating selfishness, its social virtues are essentially negative and strikingly unfruitful in good works.

#### *POPULAR ASPECTS OF BUDDHISM.*

§ 32.—Am I overstating my case and shooting beyond the mark? Is it that I prove too much and thus expose myself to the charge of having proved too little? Should not common sense tell me, that a religion so defective, so unnatural, so worthless, could not possibly have attained such wide-spread acceptation, could not have become the





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



specially intended to expiate the guilty conscience and to remove the consequences of sin and the sting of death and hell.

These are but instances, sufficient however to show that Goethe's famous saying,

‘ Ein guter Mensch in seinem dunkeln Drange  
Ist sich des rechten Weges wohl bewusst,’

so true and yet so simple, that it refuses to be translated, is as true in Asia as anywhere else. In other words, the history of Buddhism proves, if proof were wanted, that every human being has in his moral instincts, unless he deliberately pervert them, a sure guide in the search for moral and religious truth.

We must allow therefore that, unnatural and monstrous as Buddhism appears when viewed merely as a dogmatic system, many of its abnormities have been toned down, amended or rectified in the arena of practical life, under the influence of the religious conscience and common sense. It would be unjust then if I were to stop with the exposition of Buddhism on the basis of its canonical literature. It will be but fair to the reputation of Buddhism and necessary to the completion of this sketch of its religion, if I proceed to consider Buddhism as a practical religion, drawing my information from actual observation of modern Buddhist worship as well as from the popular literature which circulates among the middle and lower classes of Buddhist countries.

But to avoid useless repetitions, I shall confine myself to those forms of religious belief and practices prevalent



---

among modern Buddhists which deviate from the theoretical system of their own Church.

It is a remnant of primitive Asiatic tree-worship, that almost every religious sect of Asia has to this present day a sacred tree of its own. The Brahmans revered the *Ficus Indica*, for which Buddhism originally substituted the *Ficus Religiosa*. But in course of time the Buddhists either reverted to the former tree or confounded the two. They were probably led to do so by the intuitive apprehension that Buddhism, as it grew and spread, singularly followed the mode of growth which is a distinctive mark of the sacred tree of the Brahmans, the *Ficus Indica*. It is a peculiarity of the latter that it extends itself by letting its branches droop and take root, planting nurseries of its own and so multiplying itself by that means, that a single tree forms a curiously arched grove.

This is precisely the way in which Buddhism propagated itself. It germinated in India, but sent out branches South and North, each taking root, and each perpetuating itself by further off-shoots, whilst the parent stock was gradually withering and finally decayed. Buddhism left but few traces behind in India, but it still lives in Ceylon and in the off-shoots of the Singhalese Church in Burmah, Siam and Pegu. When Buddhism became almost totally extinct in India, the whole force of its vitality seemed to throw itself northwards and it spread with renewed vigour and widening shade over Cashmere and Nepaul to China and Tibet. Chinese



Buddhism threw forth new branches, northwards into Corea and Japan, and southwards over Cochin-China, Cambodia and Lagos, whilst Tibetan Buddhism pushed its branches into Mongolia, Manchuria and the greater part of Central Asia.

Now in each of these countries Buddhism established separate Churches, each having its own locally diversified life, its own saplings, its own fruits and yet all these many branches with their endless ramifications form one grove, one compact whole, pervaded by the same sap, connected with each other and with the old withered parent stock in India by a net of intertwining roots.

It is quite beyond the limits of this lecture to go into all these national peculiarities and local varieties of Buddhism, interesting as they are to the student of comparative anthropology. I must confine myself to the more prominent general characteristics. And here we observe one grand distinction standing out in bold relief, a distinction which is now generally recognized by Buddhist scholars when they speak of Southern and Northern Buddhism.

### *SOUTHERN BUDDHISM AS A POPULAR RELIGION.*

§ 33.—Southern Buddhism, or the Church of Ceylon with her offspring, being locally in close proximity to the parent stock and by natural circumstances in a comparatively isolated position, retained the strongest resemblance to the original Buddhism of India, and seemed sympathetically as





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



there have instinctively toned down many of the unnatural products of Buddhist scholasticism.

Cold, lifeless, abstract Atheism was too repulsive to the warm religious instincts and affections of the people who instinctively substituted for it idolatrous deification of humanity. They worship the seven ancient Buddhas, and Shâkyamuni Gâutama in particular, they accord divine honours to his principal disciples or Bôdhisattvas, they prostrate themselves before the images of these worthies, bring them offerings, address them in prayer, and revere their relics with superstitious awe. But they do all this without making any logical distinction between the image and the hero represented by it, without realising to themselves when they worship in the temples whether it is the mere act of worshipping that will avert calamity or procure happiness for them, or whether the invisible Buddha or Bôdhisattva actually has the power to influence their fortunes.

The educated Buddhist will always deny being guilty of idolatry. He merely remembers those ancient spiritual heroes by means of statuary representations, he merely vows in the presence of those idols to follow their example and practise morality and holiness. But the common people incapable of drawing such fine distinctions mechanically worship those heroes of their Church, hoping thereby to derive temporal and eternal advantages. Theirs is therefore not an atheistic religion but a worship of the genius, a deification of humanity. And this is what Buddhism amounts to everywhere in the minds of the common people.

As the consciousness of God, this divine legacy in-



---

herited by every human soul, recoiled from the godless Atheism of the metaphysician, thus also the sound common sense of the untutored multitude asserted itself in opposition to the refined teachings of the Schools regarding the future state (Nirvâna). The literature of Southern Buddhism renounces the very idea of individuality, denies the existence of a separate ego, a self, and consistently therefore sees the highest boon of mankind in total annihilation of all forms of existence. Nirvâna is to this over-wise school-philosophy neither a state of consciousness nor unconsciousness, nor is it a state that is neither conscious nor unconscious: it is simply a non-entity, and the being that enters this state must become non-existent. This is what the Buddhist priest teaches to the present day; this is the food he offers to human souls hungering and thirsting for a future of personal happiness and bliss. Surely it is giving a stone to children crying for bread. And though it be the philosopher's stone, it is not to be wondered at if the common people turn away from it unsatisfied.

The fact is, this annihilation theory has nowhere in any Buddhist country met with popular acceptance. Though Southern Buddhists did not proceed to substitute any definite conceptions of a real paradise of personal conscious immortality for this abstract metaphysical Nihilism, they comforted themselves with the idea, that—whatever Nirvâna might actually be—there would be there no more of the horrors of transmigration, no more of the misery of life and death, no more of the torments of hell. Thus the common people accustomed themselves to think and speak



of Nirvâna negatively. They understand it to be final cessation of the weary round of birth and death, a state absolutely exempt from all sorrows and troubles.

With the exception of these two points, Atheism and Nihilism, the practical religion of Southern Buddhists has adopted the whole range of Buddhist dogmas as exhibited in the preceding lecture. If we are to apply the historical distinction of Hinâyana and Mahâyana, we might therefore consider Singhalese Buddhists to be followers of the Hinâyana system. When a learned Chinese Buddhist (Hiuen-tsang), who visited Ceylon in A.D. 640-645, classed the Buddhists of Ceylon among the adherents of the Mahâyana School, he had most probably before his mind those very points, the negation of Atheism and Nihilism, in which the practical religion of Southern Buddhists, following the natural bent of the religious instincts and common sense, assimilated itself, though unintentionally and independently, with their Northern contemporaries, among whom the Mahâyana School was then flourishing.

There are indeed a few other points of resemblance, instances of expansion given to original Buddhism by Buddhists of the North, types of which might be noticed in the popular Buddhism of Ceylon. If, for example, the common people of Ceylon—perhaps more or less unconsciously—bring an offering to Buddhas and saints as if it were an atoning sacrifice, this might be looked upon as an expansion of the original Buddhism of India which indeed leaves no room for any atonement whatsoever, and as the germ from which the more elaborate ritual of propitiation and





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



and went even so far as to amalgamate with the Buddhist religion notions derived from the primitive tree and serpent worship, which was a form of religion not only prior to Buddhism but indigenous in Burmah and Siam. The consequence is, that practical Buddhist worship there is marked by the prevalence of Brahmanic mythology.

As in Ceylon, so here also the scholastic system of nihilistic Atheism has been converted into a popular form of Polytheism, a worship of Buddhas and Bôdhisattvas, Nâgas and demons. There are moreover in Burmah and Siam many traces of the peculiar teachings and rites of the Mahâyana and even of the Tantra School, so that the popular Buddhism of these two countries may be considered to be the connecting link between the Buddhism of the South (Ceylon) and the Buddhists of the North (China, Tibet, &c.).

The religious systems of Northern Buddhism, as carried out in practical life by the Buddhists of Cashmere, Nepaul, and all countries North and North-East of the Himalaya, will strike any observer at first sight as a most heterogeneous mixture of foreign, especially Indian, and native elements, embodying the mythological deities of almost any religion that ever existed in Eastern Asia.

#### OBJECTS OF PUBLIC WORSHIP.

§ 35.—Let us in imagination visit a Buddhist temple connected with a monastery, say the largest that is to be found in any of the above named regions, but which is only a magnified specimen of what you may find *en miniature*



---

or in detached portions in every city within the sphere of Northern Buddhism. Let us also suppose that we have for our guide an intelligent and well-read Buddhist priest, a *rara avis* indeed, but still, let us charitably hope, to be found somewhere, one that is able to explain the mythological origin and meaning of all the objects of worship.

Start with him on your expedition, and he will point out to you a large tree marking from afar, as you approach, the locality of the sacred edifice, the gables of which are likewise remarkable from a distance by their peculiar shape and the dragon figures which surmount them. When your eye catches the splendid tree in front of the building, your guide will tell you that this tree is a specimen of the sacred Bôdhi-tree (*Ficus Religiosa*), that an embassy expressly sent for this purpose to Buddha-gâya in India brought a shoot from the veritable tree under which Shâkyamuni sat when he attained to Buddhahood. You may remark that the tree before you is by no means a *Ficus Religiosa*, but a *Ficus Indica*, or it may happen that it is neither of the two, but a palm-tree (most probably then the *Borassus Flabelliformis*); but the priest will tell you nevertheless with a bland smile that it is at any rate a Bôdhi-tree and that only ignorant and wantonly sceptical people can have any doubt on the subject. Is there not a plate erected at the foot of the tree, stating that this tree grew out of a shoot brought directly from the holy land, cut off the very Bôdhi-tree at Gâya?

As you turn towards the principal entrance of the



building, you remark, a yard or two in advance of the flight of steps leading up to it, figures of crouching lions carved in stone and resting on pedestals, placed on either side. You will be told that these are emblems of Shâkyamuni whose cognomen Shâkyasimha (lit. Shâkya, the lion) indicates that he is by his moral excellence the king of men, as the lion by his strength is the king of the beasts. Perhaps your guide will even quote a passage from his sacred scriptures, ‘as a lion’s howl makes all animals tremble, subdues elephants, arrests birds in their flight and fish in the water, thus Buddha’s utterances upset all other religions, subdue all devils, conquer all heretics and arrest all the misery of life.’

If it is a sunny day, you will find gathered on the entrance steps a motley assembly; priests and beggars, lying lazily in the sun, or engaged in entomological pursuits, mending their clothes, cobbling their shoes, cleaning their opium pipes, smoking, gambling and so forth, and your appearance will be the signal for a general clamour for an alms offering in the shape of a foreign cent, or they will offer their services as guides. But if it should happen to be a feast day, the steps and the whole open space in front, with the courtyards inside, will be crowded to excess by a busy multitude, men, women and children, who have come to worship or to consult the oracle, hawkers of fruit and other edibles, booths with fancy articles of all kinds, stalls opened by druggists, wandering doctors, fortune tellers, tents for the purpose of gambling, in short a complete fair which pushes its lumber and its clamour close





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



ascribe to them the power of healing all those diseases and of preventing or averting all those calamities which are supposed to be the work of evil spirits.

After crossing a second courtyard, you reach the principal temple by ascending a small flight of steps. On entering this building you see before you five small altars placed in a row with an image on each, and if it is the hour of prayer you may find a number of priests in full canonicals resembling so many Roman Catholic priests, chanting their monotonous litanies and responses to the sound of bell and a sort of wooden drum. The images before whom the priests every now and then prostrate themselves represent, as it may happen, either the five Celestial Buddhas (*Vairôchana*, *Akchôbhya*, *Amitâbha*, *Ratna Sambhava* and *Amôghasiddha*) or their spiritual sons the so-called five celestial *Bôdhisattvas* (*Samantabhadra*, *Vadhrapani*, *Ratnapani*, *Padmapani* *i.e.* *Avalôkiteshvara* or *Kwanyin*, and *Vishvapani*). Your guide will explain to you that every historic Buddha may be viewed as possessing a triple form of existence, living or having lived among men on earth (*Manuchi Buddha*), existing metaphysically in *Nirvâna* (*Dhyâni Buddha*), and finally as a reflex of himself in a spiritual son generated in the world of forms for the purpose of propagating the religion established by him during his earthly career. He will further tell you by way of example that the famous founder of the present Buddhist Church was as *Manuchi Buddha* known under the name *Shâkyamuni*, as *Dhyâni Buddha* however he is called *Am-itâbha*, whilst his reflex in the world of forms or, so to



• speak, his spiritual son is Padmapâni (Avalôkiteshvara). The five images therefore, before which you see the priests kneeling and prostrating themselves, all the while chanting their prayers, are the celestial types or the spiritual sons of those five ancient Buddhas who according to the general doctrine of Buddhism have already appeared in this present period (Kalpa).

Step nearer. You need not fear to give offence or to disturb the devotion of men, who, whilst mechanically continuing their monotonous litany and chanting their responses, will stretch out a hand to examine the texture of your clothes, to receive an alms, or offer to light your cigar or criticise in whispers the shape and size of your nose. Glance over the shoulder of one of those priests and examine his manual of daily prayer. It is neatly printed in large-sized full-bodied native type and in the native character, but totally unintelligible to him, for it is Sanskrit, pure grammatical Sanskrit, systematically transliterated syllable by syllable. Listen to him, as he chants rythmically indeed but in drowsy monotonous voice: sarva tathâgatâ schamâm samâvasantu buddhyâ buddhyâ siddhyâ bodhaya bodhaya vibodhaya vibodhaya mochaya vimochaya vimochaya sodhaya sodhaya visodhaya visodhaya samantâm mochaya samanta, &c., &c. Poor fellow, he has not the slightest idea of the meaning of these words, though he may have been chanting these Sanskrit prayers day after day for ever so many years. But he has a notion that these strange sounds have some magic effect, beneficial for himself and for the salvation of his soul. There is



however tolerably good sense in the words of his prayer which reads, when translated, as follows : may all the Ta-thâgatas (*i.e.* Buddhas) take up their abode in me ! ever teach, ever instruct, ever deliver with all knowledge ! with all knowledge deliver, deliver, completely deliver ! purify, purify, purify, completely purify ! deliver, oh deliver all living creatures ! &c., &c.

Pass on from these poor deluded souls that grope in the darkness for the light of a Saviour whom they know not. On the walls to the right and left you see ranged the life-sized statues of eighteen priests, Lohans or Arhans or Lamas your guide calls them. They also receive homage and worship by the priests who look upon them as the first apostles of Northern Buddhism.

But what do we see there in the background, partly screened by a large altar covered with censers and vases and plates of offering ? Three colossal images, perhaps over thirty feet high, placed in sitting posture, carefully executed and richly gilt, their countenances bearing an expression of placid tranquillity. Do not rashly jump at the conclusion that this must be a representation of the famous trinity of Northern Buddhists. Perhaps it is so, perhaps not. Appeal to your guide. If you are in a temple dedicated to the worship of Amitâbha Buddha, the triad of gigantic figures is Amitâbha in the centre, Avalôkiteshvara (his spiritual son) at his left, and Mahastamaprapta, a famous disciple of Buddha, at his right hand. Otherwise you may have before your eyes what are popularly—though not quite correctly—called the Buddhas of the past, present





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



Triratna and the Brahminical Trimurti or the Christian trinity. The origin of this Buddhist trinity is to be explained in the following way.

### THE TRINITY.

§ 36.—It was natural that Shâkyamuni Gâutama Buddha, the great founder of Buddhism, should become an object of worship after his death. It was likewise natural that when he was gone who had before been to his disciples the only criterion and judge of the truth, the followers of Buddhism should, in the absence of any standard work written by Shâkyamuni himself and in the absence of any fixed creed, feel the want of some comprehensive formula or some simple articles of faith, which might be to them what the *regulæ fidei* or the Apostolic Confession afterwards were to the early Christians.

In looking for such a short but comprehensive condensation of the faith established by Shâkyamuni, they naturally remembered first those of his sayings, which he had enunciated shortly before his death with the expressed intention of giving his disciples some guidance for the future. ‘Ananda,’ said he, ‘when I am gone, you must not think that there is no Buddha; the discourses I have delivered and the precepts I have enjoined must be my successors or representatives and be to you as Buddha.’ Well, there they had Buddha’s discourses and precepts (*i.e.* Dharma) placed in the same rank with Buddha himself whom they already worshipped.

Again, among those seven imperishable precepts which



---

Shâkyamuni gave to his disciples shortly before his death, the first in order is 'to keep assemblies or convocations (Samgha) regularly from time to time.' What can be more natural now, than that the first preachers of Buddhism after the removal of their great master used those three constituents Buddha, Dharma and Samgha as a standing theme for all their sermons, as the test of faith for those who wished to enter the Buddhist Church?

At any rate it is an indisputed fact, that immediately after the death of Shâkyamuni the formula 'I take my refuge in Buddha, Dharma and Samgha' was practically in use as the *formula fidei* for laymembers of the Buddhist Church, and likewise that this very formula was commonly called the formula of the three refuges (Trisharana).

This then was apparently the starting point and the first stage in the process of development through which this Buddhist doctrine of a trinity passed. Had it stopped here—and Southern Buddhism never went farther—we could not speak of a trinity, but simply of a triple dogma, of a triad of articles of faith, of which the first inculcated the divine character of the founder of Buddhism as a person, whilst the second referred to the abstract unity of the Buddhist dogma, and the third to the collective unity of the clergy. All three were considered as worthy of reverence and divine worship, but they were not viewed as three persons, nor as three persons in one; they formed no trinity.

In the course of time however this doctrine underwent some modification and received further development in the



hands of Northern Buddhists and especially through the influence of the Mahâyana School. In this second period of the history of the Buddhist Triratna, the Brahminical Trimurti of Brahma, Vishnu and Shiva, *may* have influenced the speculative minds of Buddhist teachers. But even without assuming this to be the case, one may easily understand, how Buddhists of a speculative turn of mind might, without much impulse from without, by the mere impetus of speculative reasoning come to unite those three constituents or heads of doctrine and consider them as a threefold manifestation of one historical person (Shâkya-muni). They would then look upon Buddha as the personified intelligence, view his doctrine *i.e.* Dharma as the incarnate Logos and call Samgha (the Buddhist Church or communion of saints) the collective unison of both. This at any rate is the form in which the idea of a Triratna was cast by the dogmatists of this period.

With the rise of the Tantra School, ideas borrowed from Shivaism and mixed with Brahminical theories began to be freely transplanted upon the fruitful soil of Northern Buddhism. Shivaism, which ascribes to Shiva a threefold body, and Brahminism, with its corresponding Trimurti of Brahma, Vishnu and Shiva, gave now a fresh impetus to the development of the Buddhist trinitarian dogma.

In Nepaul a threefold form of existence was ascribed to every Buddha, and the distinction, to which I alluded above, was made of a terrestrial Buddha (Manuchi Buddha), of a celestial Buddha (Dhyâni Buddha) and of a reflex of the latter (Dhyâni Bôdhisattva).





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



life or existence. Having thus destroyed the personal character of the first constituent (Dharma) in this trinity, Dharma was further identified with Pradjna, the highest virtue according to the Buddhist system of morality and the principal means for attaining to Nirvâna, implying especially a voluntary secession from the versatile phenomenal world into that of abstraction. Naturally then Dharma was viewed as an abstract first principle, Buddha became a mere phenomenon and Samgha an idea and nothing more. This is the form under which the so called Buddhist trinity is now-a-days promulgated among Northern Buddhists from Nepaul to Corea, even in popular literature. And this is, therefore, the meaning of the three colossal images, the sight of which has led us away into this lengthy digression. But, be it understood, this is the esoteric view of the Triratna.

The common people understand little or nothing of such speculations. They see before them three separate deities, they speak of and worship not a triune god but a triad of idols, which they regard as three different divinities, totally ignoring their unity and unaware that the three precious ones they worship are after all but logical abstractions, a purely philosophical fiction.

But to return to realities, suppose you visit some of the smaller buildings, you will probably see in one of them a fine marble pagoda reaching to the very rafters of the roof. It is built in strict Indian style, tastefully decorated, and forms the receptacle of some sacred relic. There may be in it perhaps a hair of Buddha, or a tooth, or a particle



of his robe, or some relic of one of his disciples. There also prayers are offered and sacrificial offerings of flowers, candles and incense presented by the people, who, true to the fetichistic habits of their forefathers, ascribe miraculous healing powers to such relics.

You pass on to another row of buildings containing several shrines. Conspicuous among them is the shrine of Amitâbha Buddha. A large crowd of people, chiefly men, are going through the usual forms of worship there, testifying to the great popularity of this deity. You notice on the breast of the idol a strange cross (the Svâstika). It is exactly the same diagram as that which you may have seen engraved on ancient church-bells in England and which learned antiquarians unanimously declare to be the hammer of Thor (the Scandinavian god of thunder). Perhaps also you remember to have heard that among the German peasantry and in Iceland the same figure is used as a magical charm to dispel thunder. Well, you turn to your guide. What is the meaning of this emblem? He informs you that it is the mystic shibboleth of the believers in the Western paradise, an accumulation of lucky signs possessing ten thousand efficacies. But what about the Western paradise?

### *THE PARADISE OF THE WEST.*

§ 37.—The dogma of this paradise in the West, the Nirvâna of the common people, was (the tradition asserts) transmitted by Shâriputra, one of the greatest disciples of Shâkyamuni. It is said that Buddha first told him of the existence of a land of extreme happiness (Sukhâvati), of a



perfect paradise in the Far West, and gave in answer to Shâriputra's questions the following particulars, which to the present day are generally believed in as gospel truth by Northern Buddhists.

In that paradise in the West, it is said, with its millions of Buddhas distributed over the country according to the eight points of the compass, there is one there discoursing on religion. His name is Amitâbha. He is so called because he is substantially light, boundless light, illuminating every part of his dominions. He is also of boundless age, immortal, and all his people are likewise enjoying immortality.

Now this pârâdise of the West, situated beyond the confines of our visible world, contains four precious things, or wonders. In the first instance it is a kingdom of extreme happiness, there is there fulness of life, and no pain nor sorrow mixed with it, no need of being born again, no Nirvâna even. In the second instance there is there a seven-fold row of railings or balustrades, thirdly a seven-fold row of silken nets and lastly a seven-fold row of trees hedging in the whole country. In the midst of it there are seven precious ponds, the water of which possesses all the eight qualities which the best water can have, viz., it is still, it is pure and cold, it is sweet and agreeable, it is light and soft, it is fresh and rich, it tranquillizes, it removes hunger and thirst and finally it nourishes all roots. The bottom of these ponds is covered with gold sand, and round about there are pavements constructed of precious stones and metals, and many two-storied pavilions





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



which one may obtain entrance into this paradise is exceedingly easy. For it is by no means absolutely necessary to renounce the world and to submit to ascetic austerities, celibacy and monastic rules, or to go through all the stages of abstract meditation and continued contemplation. What is absolutely required is merely an assiduous and devout worship of Amitâbha. 'The very name of this Buddha,' says a sacred text, 'if pronounced by a devout heart a thousand times or five thousand times, will effectually dispel all harassing thoughts, all fightings within and fears without. A continued sincere worship of Amitâbha will release men from the restless unceasing eddies of transmigration and bring them to the enjoyment of eternal peace and rest in the pure land of the Western heaven. And if once there, there will be no danger of being reborn again into the world of trouble and misery, or of having again to suffer the pangs of dying.'

It is needless to remark, that this whole dogma, beautiful as it is in its conception, and a true response to the natural yearning of the human heart for an eternal Sabbath in heaven, is a flat contradiction to all the leading doctrines of Buddhism, granting as it does such an easy egress out of the Sansara and substituting personal immortality for the utter annihilation of the Nirvâna theory.

But this leads us to the question, from what source did Northern Buddhists derive this strange medley? For it is another remarkable feature about this doctrine that the very name of Amitâbha and his paradise are perfectly unknown to the Buddhists of Ceylon, Burmah and Siam.



Strange to say, the dogma of Amitâbha made its first appearance in the literature of Northern Buddhism as early as 147 A.D., when a book under the name of Amitâbhasûtra was brought from the headquarters of the Toehari Tartars (Cashmere) to China by a Cashmerian priest called Chi-lukia-ch'an. The next appearance of Amitâbha is in a list of 1000 Buddhas devised by the Mahâyana School. But it was not earlier than the fifth century that the worship of Amitâbha and the dogma of a paradise in the West began to spread largely.

The great Chinese traveller Fabien wandered (A.D. 400) all over India, but though he alludes to having noticed the worship of Avalôkiteshvara and Mandjushri, who are closely associated with the worship of Amitâbha, he never mentions the latter, and does not appear to have met in his travels with any trace of a worship addressed to Amitâbha. His countryman Hiuentasang, who (A.D. 629-45) passed through Central Asia and India, and published his observations in a very extensive and accurate form, is likewise silent on the subject.

Taking these circumstances together with the total absence of the dogma of Amitâbha, for which the Buddhist canon of Ceylon, Burmah and Siam is conspicuous, it seems evident, that India was not the birthplace of the worship of Amitâbha.

And now we may go a step farther. There is another circumstance which makes it probable that the dogma in question originated in Cashmere. It reached China in connection with that distinction of Dhyâni Buddhas and



Dhyâni Bôdhisattvas and in connection with that before-mentioned list of 1000 Buddhas, both doctrines being a product of the Mahâyana School which was first started in Cashmere and Nepaul.

Considering then that Amitâbha was originally conceived as impersonal, as the ideal representation of boundless light,—a significantly Manichæan idea,—remembering also that his name is mentioned in a list of 1000 Buddhas which naturally reminds us of the 1000 Zarathustras of the Persians, and taking finally into account that the whole doctrine of Amitâbha and his paradise in the West is not only unknown to Southern Buddhists, but diametrically opposed to the first principles of Buddhism, it seems most natural to seek the origin of this dogma in Manichæan or Persian ideas influencing the Buddhism of Cashmere and Nepaul.

### *THE GODDESS OF MERCY.*

§ 38.—Close to the shrine of Amitâbha you will find that of Avalôkiteshvara. As the former was especially worshipped by men then habituated to looking to Western countries as centres of civilizing influences, thus women throng round the altar of the latter in search of a guide to the promised Eldorado popularly supposed to be somewhere in the West. Examine the idol before you. It has the appearance of a female, with three faces joined to one head and a large number of arms, each grasping some symbol of doctrine or some weapon of defence.

Perhaps your guide informs you with the utmost gravity, that this idol has not been made by human hands





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



Many are the legends connected with this popular apostle of mercy. Avalôkiteshvara is first heard of as having resided at Pôtala, a port at the mouth of the Indus, the reputed home of Shâkyamuni's ancestors. Another Pôtala, likewise the scene of manifestations of Avalôkiteshvara's miraculous saving powers, is placed by the legend in the South of India, East of the Malaya mountains in Malakuta, that is to say somewhere on the coast of Malabar. When Simhala, an Indian Buddhist, was shipwrecked on the coast of Ceylon and ensnared by savage sirens (Rakchasis), Avalôkiteshvara appeared in the shape of a horse and carried him across the sea to India, whence he returned with an army, slew the sirens and founded the Kingdom of Ceylon, thenceforth the headquarters of Southern Buddhism.

In China, Avalôkiteshvara appeared as a woman, born under the name Kwanyin, as the third daughter of a (fabulous) king called Shubhavyuha (lit. Chwang-yen-wang), who—as the tradition, which identifies him with Chwang-wang of the Chow dynasty, boldly asserts,—lived about 696 B. C. Her father was an unbeliever and finding himself unable to overrule her objections against marriage, he allowed her to go into a convent, but by his orders she was there made to perform the most degrading offices for the other nuns. Celestial spirits, Richis, however came invisibly to do all the menial work for her. When her father found it out, he became so enraged that he set fire to the convent. But a rain fell and extinguished the fire and it appeared that no harm had been done to any of the five



hundred inmates. Thereupon the king ordered his daughter, Kwanyin, to be arrested and brought into court, but he secretly told her mother to coax the girl into submission. Kwanyin however remained steadfast; she would rather die than be married. The king then sent for the executioner and ordered him to cut off her head. But as often as the sword touched her neck, the blade split into a thousand pieces, without injuring her. The king now ordered her to be stifled with a red cloth. It was done, but a white tiger suddenly appeared and carried her body off to a dark forest. There her soul, being in a state of torpor, perceived a youth who waved a banner in his hands and ordered her to follow him into the presence of Yâma (the ruler of Hades). She followed him, but whilst passing through the various chambers of torture in hell she kept her hands folded, continually invoking Amitâbha Buddha. Thereupon a rain of flowers fell from heaven, the earth produced golden lotos flowers, and all the instruments of torture used in hell were smashed. When she appeared before Yâma, the latter, seeing that hell changed under her footsteps into paradise, exclaimed in righteous indignation: how can the world be made better if we are to have no hell? So he ordered Kwanyin to be sent back to the dark forest whence she came. There she suddenly found herself awake as if from a dream. I was in heaven, she exclaimed, and yet here I find myself again on earth! Whither shall I go to dwell? Whilst saying this she found herself confronted by a Buddhist hermit, who invited her, with many bland speeches and subtle arguments, to share his hermitage with him.



Indignantly she refused this offer, reminding the hermit of Buddha's injunction that nuns and monks should live apart. But he replied, I am Buddha himself and only came to test your virtue. He forthwith called a Nâga (dragon) spirit, who produced a large lotos flower, invited her to sit on it, and thus conveyed her to the island of Pôtala on the China coast (now called P'ootoo). There Kwanyin lived for nine years, saving many mariners from imminent peril and shipwreck, and healing the diseases of innumerable beings. Hearing that her father was dangerously ill, she cut all the flesh off her own arms, and made it into a medicine which restored him to health. To show his gratitude he ordered a statue of Kwanyin to be erected with arms and eyes complete, but as the word complete has in Chinese the same sound (ts'ien) as the word for thousand (ts'ien), the two terms differing only in tone, the sculptor misunderstood the king's order. Thus it happened that a statue with a thousand eyes and a thousand arms perpetuated her memory.

The island of P'ootoo, where Kwanyin resided till her death, was in after times relieved from all taxes, handed over to the priesthood, and as the worship of Kwanyin spread over the whole of China and over all the neighbouring countries, this island continued to be and still is the object of veneration to which pious pilgrims resort from the farthest regions.

In Tibet this deity is supposed to have been a man. Avalôkiteshvara (as a male deity) is believed to be the ancestor of the Tibetan people. It is said that in ancient times he





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



split into a thousand pieces without injuring Morihisa. His enemy, who had previously slain all the other members of Morihisa's clan, received also a warning through his own wife, to whom Kwannon had appeared in a dream interceding on behalf of Morihisa. The latter was therefore set at liberty and being the acknowledged protégé of Kwannon he rose to the highest power in the State.

It is impossible to say where and by whom this dogma of Ava'ôkiteshvara was got up. Judging from internal evidences it would appear that it was first developed by the Mahâyana School. This School gave Avalôkiteshvara even a place in the trinity, uniting him (her) as the personification of love and charity with another fictitious Bôddhisattva, called Mandjushri, the apotheosis of transcendental wisdom, and with Vadjrapâni, the Indra of the Vêda, now looked upon as the god of thunder and the personification of power. Forming one of the constituents of the trinity, Avalôkiteshvara received the title Ishvara (Lord), and for the same reason it is that he (she) is often represented with three faces or with eleven faces arranged in three divisions.

Afterwards the Tantra School applied its idea of Celestial Buddhas and Bôddhisattvas upon this dogma and Avalôkiteshvara was then declared to be the spiritual reflex or son of Amitâbha Buddha.

Shivaism also had its influence upon the formation of the dogma in question, and Avalôkiteshvara is consequently often represented with three eyes, with a crown of skulls on her head or a necklace of skulls or a rosary made of finger bones. Shivaism especially promoted Avalôkite-



shvara's being viewed as a female deity endowed with great powers of sorcery and as the authoress of a most popular magic formula, 'om mani padme hum,' which is used by all Northern Buddhists as a favourite formula of exorcism or inscribed on amulets, on houses, walls, pillars, books, pill-boxes, coins and so forth, being supposed to be the most effective charm against calamities and noxious influences of all kinds.

I have gone into all these legendary accounts current among the people with reference to this particular deity, because they form a fair specimen of the popular hagiology of Northern Buddhists. Similar stories are told about many other minor deities, whose effigies you will find profusely scattered over the many courts and chapels connected with any large temple. Most of them bear names which connect their origin and history with Brahmanic or even Vêdic mythology. Many however of these *dii minores* are purely deifications of ancient native worthies. Famous priests, physicians of great renown, women of extraordinary devotion, munificence and piety, have been honoured with a place in the popular pantheon, and are as devoutly worshipped in their native countries as any of the ancient Budhas and Bôdhisattvas.

#### *INTERIOR OF A MONASTERY.*

§ 39.—But suppose you retrace your steps through the various temples you have visited, you will find it interesting to have a look at the apartments occupied by the priests. They have most of them their own cells, but dine



together in one large ball, which, together with the kitchen and its enormous rice-boilers, are worthy a visit. The abbot has his private rooms apart from the cells of the priests. You may find him willing to receive you, but you will be astonished if you enter his rooms, expecting to find there the same primitive simplicity and economy, which you noticed when passing through the apartments allotted to the use of the priests, and which reminded you so strongly of the internal arrangements of a Roman Catholic monastery. A modern abbot takes it generally very easy. If his monastery is not too far from any centre of foreign commerce, he will show you with pride a collection of articles *de luxe*. He has watches and clocks of foreign manufacture, photographs of less than questionable decency, and he is generally not only a confirmed opium smoker, but considers himself a good judge of champagne, port and sherry. His attendants are invariably laymen, relatives of his own, who may have no intention whatever to take the vows. But the same abbot may also have a printing press with moveable types, likewise of foreign manufacture, and you may see it turning out neat reprints of the most popular portions of the Buddhist scriptures, or little tracts and pamphlets of local reputation.

After a visit to the gardens, which are generally well kept and abound in curious specimens of artificial training, after a passing glance at the place where the bodies of deceased priests are burned and the tomb which covers their ashes, you return through the labyrinth of galleries and courts. In one of the latter you may now notice a





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



haps be arrested by a crowd of people surrounding a number of pigs wallowing in the richest food thrown before them. You will also notice in a conspicuous position near these pigs a poor-box, into which the people drop their offerings of money. What is it all about? Look at the inscription affixed to that box in large staring letters 'save life!' The greatest Buddhist commandment is that which forbids the taking of life. All life, human as well as animal life, is absolutely sacred in the eyes of the Buddhist devotee. The killing of animals for the purpose of food is a heinous offence. Still more so is the love of cruelty which leads the strong to prey upon the weak and enables the sportsman, the fox-hunter, the deer-stalker, the pigeon-shooter in heathen and Christian countries to derive a horrible enjoyment from the piteous sufferings of poor dumb animals. Those pigs are therefore exhibited by the priests to remind the people of this greatest of all Buddhist commandments. And the people drop their mites into the box by way of atoning to some extent for their own shortcomings in that respect. For Northern Buddhists are—with the exception of the priests—by no means vegetarians, and even priests may be seen privately enjoying the good things of this world in the shape of animal food. Still I should think you will be inclined to bestow some praise on these pious priests who charitably feed those 'sacred pigs,' as European travellers have styled them, for the mere respect of animal life, who interpret the command 'thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself' in a wider sense even than Christ did, and see their neighbours not only in their



---

fellowmen, but also in every single specimen of the animal world, in every wild beast and even in the despised pig that wallows in the mud. And certainly a public protest against cruel sports and useless destruction of animal life is a good and needful thing, for sports of a cruel character do tend to blunt the feelings and develop that terrible callousness of disposition which leads to an utter disregard of the sanctity of human life. But, on the other hand, it is unfair to compare this Buddhist commandment 'thou shalt not take life' with the religion of Him who would not break the bruised reed nor quench the smoking flax, and to give the palm—as some European admirers of Buddhism have actually done—to this Buddhist ideal of charity. Just ask your guide whether the Buddhist Church, which so laudably extends its charity even to the brute creation and assiduously feeds sacred pigs in its monasteries, exerts herself to ameliorate the condition of poor suffering humanity? He will have to acknowledge that no hospitals, no asylums for the blind, the deformed, the destitute, have ever been founded by a Buddhist community. Alms, indeed, are encouraged, but they are to be bestowed on the worthiest, on the priest, the cloister, the Church, and thus the current of charity is diverted from the destitute or outcasts of society whose very destitution is according to the Buddhist scriptures a proof of their unworthiness, to the worthiest on earth, to the community of priests, who are, bound to receive the gifts bestowed, in order that the faithful may acquire merit, though forbidden by the self-renouncing principles of their creed to retain



them for their private advantage. Thus it was brought about that the Buddhist priests take to feeding sacred pigs. A Buddhist Peabody, therefore, would be doing the correct thing if he were to throw all his humanitarian efforts with all his money—before the swine.

It is almost needless to remark, after the above given explanations, that those sacred pigs receive nowhere in any Buddhist country anything like worship either by the priests or by the people. And yet a member of the American Expedition to China and Japan fell into this error and published it abroad in the printed report of that expedition. After describing what he calls the sacred pigs in the sacred styes in Canton, he unfortunately adds, 'It was something of a curiosity, though somewhat saddening in the reflections it occasioned, to behold the sanctified pork and the reverence with which it is worshipped.' I would not have mentioned this gross mistake, had not a learned writer in the *Fortnightly Review* (Feb., 1870, p. 215) based on this misconception a whole system of pig-worship and pages of folk-lore speculations concerning 'the primitive mysterious boar' who 'is worshipped in China and was worshipped among the Celts,' and placed that supposed pig-worship of China into analogy with 'the existing worship of Vishnu in his avatar as a boar.' There is no people in the world fonder of pork than the Chinese are, but there is not a trace of porcine worship to be found among them, unless the worship of the pig consists in eating it.





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



countries assumed a far higher significance. Buddha is to them simply the highest God, the *Deus optimus maximus*. Bôdhisattvas (and Arhats) are demi-gods. The former is God in *esse*, the latter are gods in *posse*. Both, Buddhas and Bôdhisattvas, are worshipped and relied on as God by Northern Buddhists. The difference lies but in this, that Buddhas are looked upon as highest in rank, whilst Bôdhisattvas are considered to be nearest in sympathy. So then, as there are many Buddhas and many Bôdhisattvas, the religion of Northern Buddhists is practically systematic deification of humanity, displayed in a thoroughly polytheistic form of worship.

### BUDDHIST MONOTHEISM.

§ 41.—But in many instances these polytheistic practices have been developed into a form of religion very much akin to Monotheism. Nepaul for instance has one supreme Buddha, called Adi-Buddha. Several European scholars (Hodgson, and after him Bunsen and others) have taken hold of this fact and triumphantly proclaimed abroad, that Buddhism, so far from being a system of Atheism, had led its devotees (in Nepaul at least) to direct Monotheism.

This assertion however requires some modification. If we examine the facts of the case, we find that the term Adi-Buddha means simply ‘an ancient Buddha’ and that the Nepaulese like all other Buddhists know not less than seven ancient Buddhas. Still it is true that Nepaulese Buddhists—like all Northern Buddhists who are under the influence of the Mahâyana School—show a decided pre-



ference for one of these ancient worthies or rather for his celestial form (Dhyâni Buddha), and this celestial Buddha is then styled Adi-Buddha *par excellence*. Indeed they address him and worship him very much as if he were a supreme god, but theoretically speaking his personality is lost in philosophical abstractions which identify this Adi-Buddha with the highest moral virtue (Pradjnâ), and convert him into a mere hyperbole, a mere figure of speech expressing the existence of a moral law in the universe. Strictly speaking they do not look upon him as the personal creator and sustainer of the universe. And yet it is undeniable that there is at the bottom of this Adi-Buddha theory a strong tendency towards Monotheism, which, though counteracted by philosophical scholasticism, shows itself in the popularized form of this dogma of one Adi-Buddha.

The common people of Nepaul reverence and pray to this Buddha in decided preference to all other Buddhas. They rely upon him for protection and salvation, treating him to all practical intents and purposes as if he were the highest God, a personal being of unlimited wisdom, goodness and power, the very creator and sustainer of the world. What this Adi-Buddha is to the Nepaulese, the same is the above-mentioned Amitâbha Buddha, with his spiritual progeny (Avalôkiteshvara), to the majority of Northern Buddhists. When they come to reason on the subject, they indeed allow that he is not the first cause of the existence of the universe, that he is but the regent of the far-famed paradise in the West, and yet practically



they implore him, or his vice-gerent Avalôkiteshvara, as if he were Almighty God, creator and ruler of the whole world, omniscient, omnipresent. Though sceptic philosophers may treat this dogma as a mere allegory, the common people stoutly maintain their belief in Amitâbha as the highest personal God, and in the objective reality of his paradise.

We see therefore in this popular belief in an Adi-Buddha or Amitâbha the instinctive groping of the religious conscience after the unknown God, a faint glimmering of the truth which revelation alone can bring home to the human mind in full undimmed clearness. The same is the case with that popular legend of a paradise in the Western region: it is a spark of divine light, a particle of the truth which Christianity alone has unfolded in the revelation of a heavenly Jerusalem, where there shall be no more death, neither sorrow, nor crying, for the former things have passed away.

### *BUDDHIST NOTIONS OF ATONEMENT.*

§ 42.—There is another point in which the religious conscience of Northern Buddhists, unsatisfied by ancient Buddhism, added to the inherited traditional stock of ideas. Ancient Buddhism knows of no sin-atonement power; it holds out to the troubled guilty conscience no prospect of mercy, no chance of obtaining forgiveness, no possibility of justification, allowing not even so much as extenuation of guilt under any circumstances whatever.

A Buddha is not a Saviour. The only thing he claims





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# Get Smart

Over 2,000 years of  
human knowledge in  
797,885 volumes

Instant access  
\$8.99/month

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



deities or the mere recital of short forms of prayer, believed to have been invented by them. 'These mystic formulae, invariably couched in Sanskrit, were popularly trusted in, being supposed to possess magic sin-atonement and saving powers. 'The very circumstance of their being constituted by the unintelligible sounds of a foreign language inspired the people with so much more awe and confidence. *Omne ignotum pro mirabile est.* Foremost among these magic sounds ranks the triliteral monosyllable om (or aum), the symbol of the trinity, the I am that I am, the alpha and omega of Northern Buddhism.

Of course this state of things could not be endured by a clergy fond of wielding uncontrolled power over the multitude. The priests had foresight enough to understand that the power of prayer, if freely accessible to all, would diminish their influence upon the people. It was an encroachment upon their privileges. As however the *vox populi*—in this case something like *vox Dei*—was too strong to resist it with any hope of success, they adopted the idea of atonement through prayer, but took it into their own keeping, establishing an elaborate ritual for the purpose of expiating guilt, counteracting evil influences of all sorts, alleviating the tortures of hell, procuring release from hell and re-birth into one of the heavens or into the Western paradise. To engraft this ritual upon the orthodox tradition, to prove that it was in perfect accordance with the principles of ancient Buddhism, they produced a most voluminous literature (Yôgachara Sûtras and Dhâranis) on the subject, ingeniously forging whole Sûtras composed in



ancient style and making Shâkyamuni himself therein enunciate those ideas of an atonement procurable through the intercession of the priests.

Thus they established a ritual for the purpose under the pretended authority of Shâkyamuni. But the ritual in question is so richly interlarded with Sanskrit prayers, and the ceremonies prescribed in it are so complicated, and surrounded with so much hocus-pocus, that it not only imposed upon the common people who were appalled by the assumed halo of ancient authority and listened with superstitious fear and reverence to the mystic jargon the very obscurity of which made them consider it profound, but it made the whole ceremonial a monopoly of the priests. For they alone have the key to the understanding of the whole, they alone can teach its mechanical details.

Quite a number of magic paraphernalia, the handling of which remains a mystery to the uninitiated, is necessary for the proper performance of that ritual. There is the so-called sceptre of Indra (Vadjra) used as a magic wand for the purpose of mantic conjurations and exorcism, there are magic circles and mystic diagrams to be drawn, holy water to be consecrated and sprinkled about, rice and flowers to be scattered to the rythmic recital of magic incantations (in Sanskrit), whilst the officiating priest goes through certain stages of eestatic meditation in order to identify himself with the particular deity invoked in each case and accompanies the prayers of the priests by mysterious manipulations (Mudrâ).

To give an idea of the latter, I will but quote a pas-



sage or two from a commentary to one of those rituals. 'The officiating priest has in his heart, pronounces with his mouth and imitates with his fingers the mystic (Sanskrit) character hri, whereupon from his heart, mouth and fingers proceed rays of red-coloured light which destroy hell (*i.e.* for the benefit of those individuals on behalf of whom the ritual is gone through).' Again we read in the same work, 'the officiating priest, lifting up the middle-finger of each hand and pressing both hands close together forms the sign hri, whereupon from the points of his middle fingers a stream of mercy goes out which takes away the sins and guilt of all evil-doers.' It is needless to add that this ritual, intended especially for the benefit of the souls of deceased persons and in that case accompanied by the burning of many domestic utensils and articles of luxury, all made of paper, is only performed in return for a certain sum of money paid to the priests, and that the length and consequent efficacy of the ceremonial gone through on behalf of any individual depends upon the length of the latter's purse.

### CONCLUSION.

This then is the practical religion of Northern Buddhism, or rather, I should say, this is what classic Buddhism, has come to in the hands of the common people.

I take leave of my subject, which I make bold to say, I have endeavoured to elucidate honestly and impartially. I have striven to do justice to everything that is good and true in Buddhism. But in the interest of truth I have to





**THIS PAGE IS LOCKED TO FREE MEMBERS**

Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page

# DELVE INTO FANTASY, MAGIC, MYTHOLOGY & FOLKLORE

**Forgotten Books'  
Full Membership gives  
access to 797,885 ancient  
and modern, fiction and  
non-fiction books.**

**Continue**

\*Fair usage policy applies



.3.4.5.6.7.8

.13.15.16.



## WORKS BY DR. E. J. EITEL.

---

**HANDBOOK FOR THE STUDENT OF CHINESE BUDDHISM.** By the Rev. E. J. EITEL. London, TRÜBNER & Co. Hongkong, LANE, CRAWFORD & Co. Crown 8vo., pp. viii. and 224. 1870.

**BUDDHISM: ITS HISTORICAL, THEORETICAL AND POPULAR ASPECTS.** By E. J. EITEL, Ph. D., Tubing. London, TRÜBNER & Co. Hongkong, LANE, CRAWFORD & Co. First Edition, 1871. Second Edition, 1873. Third Edition, Revised with Additions. 12mo., pp. iv. and 145. 1884.

**FENG-SHUI; OR THE RUDIMENTS OF NATURAL SCIENCE IN CHINA.** By E. J. EITEL, M.A., Ph. D., Tubing. London, TRÜBNER & Co. Hongkong, LANE, CRAWFORD & Co. Royal 8vo., pp. vi. and 84. 1873.

**A CHINESE DICTIONARY IN THE CANTONESE DIALECT.** By E. J. EITEL, Ph. D., Tubing. London, TRÜBNER & Co. Hongkong, LANE, CRAWFORD & Co. Crown 8vo., pp. xxxv. and 1018. 1877.